This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization

International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date 8 January 2004 (08.01.2004)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number WO 2004/003514 A2

(51) International Patent Classification7:

G01N

(21) International Application Number:

PCT/US2003/021335

(22) International Filing Date:

1 July 2003 (01.07.2003)

(25) Filing Language:

English

(26) Publication Language:

English

(30) Priority Data:

60/393,248 1 July 2002 (01.07.2002) US 60/414,273 27 September 2002 (27.09.2002) US

- (71) Applicant: VIROLOGIC, INC. [US/US]; 345 Oyster Point Blvd., South San Francisco, CA 94080-4811 (US).
- (72) Inventors: CHAPPEY, Colombe; 1341 Valencia Street, Apt. 17, San Francisco, CA 94110 (US). PETROPOU-LOS, Christos, J.; 415 Garcia Drive, Half Moon Bay, CA 94109 (US). PARKIN, Nell, T.; 1938 Bayview Avenue, Belmont, CA 94002 (US).
- (74) Agents: GEORGE, Nikolaos, C. et al.; Pennie & Edmonds LLP, 1155 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10036 (US).


- (81) Designated States (national): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, OM, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.
- (84) Designated States (regional): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

 without international search report and to be republished upon receipt of that report

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: COMPOSITIONS AND METHODS FOR DETERMINING THE SUSCEPTIBILITY OF A PATHOGENIC VIRUS TO PROTEASE INHIBITORS



(57) Abstract: The present invention provides an approach for developing an algorithm for determining the effectiveness of antiviral drugs based on a comprehensive analysis of paired phenotypic and genotypic data guided by phenotypic clinical cut-offs. In one aspect, the algorithm allows one to provide a patient with effective treatment. It helps predict whether an infected individual will respond to treatment with an anti-viral compound, thereby allowing an effective treatment regimen to be designed without subjecting the patient to unnecessary side effects. Also, by avoiding the administration of ineffective drugs, considerable time and money is saved.

D04/003514 A2

15

20

25



COMPOSITIONS AND METHODS FOR DETERMINING THE SUSCEPTIBILITY OF A PATHOGENIC VIRUS TO PROTEASE INHIBITORS

1. FIELD OF INVENTION

This invention relates to compositions and methods for determining the susceptibility of a pathogenic virus to an anti-viral compound. The compositions and methods are useful for identifying effective drug regimens for the treatment of viral infections, and identifying and determining the biological effectiveness of potential therapeutic compounds.

2. BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

More than 60 million people have been infected with the human immunodeficiency virus ("HIV"), the causative agent of acquired immune deficiency syndrome ("AIDS"), since the early 1980s. See Lucas, 2002, Lepr Rev. 73(1):64-71. HIV/AIDS is now the leading cause of death in sub-Saharan Africa, and is the fourth biggest killer worldwide. At the end of 2001, an estimated 40 million people were living with HIV globally. See Norris, 2002, Radiol Technol. 73(4):339-363.

Modern anti-HIV drugs target different stages of the HIV life cycle and a variety of enzymes essential for HIV's replication and/or survival. Amongst the drugs that have so far been approved for AIDS therapy are nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors such as AZT, ddI, ddC, d4T, 3TC, abacavir, nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitors such as tenofovir, non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors such as nevirapine, efavirenz, delavirdine and protease inhibitors such as saquinavir, ritonavir, indinavir, nelfinavir, amprenavir and lopinavir.

One consequence of the action of an anti-viral drug is that it can exert sufficient selective pressure on virus replication to select for drug-resistant mutants (Herrmann et al., 1977, Ann NY Acad Sci 284:632-637). With increasing drug exposure, the selective pressure on the replicating virus population increases to promote the more rapid emergence of drug resistant mutants.


With the inevitable emergence of drug resistance, strategies must be designed to optimize treatment in the face of resistant virus populations. Ascertaining the contribution of drug resistance to drug failure is difficult because patients that are likely to develop drug resistance are also likely to have other factors that predispose them to a poor prognosis

15

20

25

30



(Richman, 1994, AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses 10:901-905). In addition, each patient typically harbors a diverse mixture of mutant strains of the virus with different mutant strains having different susceptibilities to anti-viral drugs.

The traditional tools available to assess anti-viral drug resistance are inadequate; the classical tests for determining the resistance of HIV to an anti-viral agent are complex, time-consuming, expensive, potentially hazardous and not custom tailored to the treatment of a given patient. See Barre-Sinoussi et al., 1983, Science 220:868-871; Popovic et al., 1984, Science 224:497-500), and variations of it (see, e.g., Goedert et al., 1987, JAMA 257:331-334; Allain et al., 1987, N. Engl. J. Med. 317:1114-1121; Piatak et al., 1993, Science 259:1749-1754; Urdea, 1993, Clin. Chem. 39:725-726; Kellam and Larder, 1994, 10 Antimicrobial Agents and Chemo. 38:23-30.

Two general approaches are now used for measuring resistance to anti-viral drugs. The first, called phenotypic testing, directly measures the susceptibility of virus taken from an infected person's virus to particular anti-viral drugs. Petropoulos et al., 2000, Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 44:920-928 and Hertogs et al., 1998, Antimicrob Agents Chemother 42(2):269-76 provide a description of phenotypic assays in widespread use today. Gunthard et al., 1998, AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses 14:869-76 and Schuurman et al., 1999, J Clin Microbiol. 37:2291-96 discuss currently prevalent genotypic assays. Hirsch et al., 2000, JAMA 283:2417-26 provide a general analysis of the currently available assays for testing drug susceptibility.

The second method, called genotypic testing, detects mutations in the virus that affect drug susceptibility and can associate specific genetic mutations with drug resistance and drug failure. Genotypic testing examines virus taken from a patient, looking for the presence of specific genetic mutations that are associated with resistance to certain drugs. Genotypic testing has a few advantages over phenotypic testing, most notably the relative simplicity and speed with which the test can be performed. The testing can take as little as a few days to complete, and because it is less complex, it is somewhat cheaper to perform. However, interpretation of genotypic data is dependent on previous knowledge of the relationships between specific mutations and changes in drug susceptibility.

Efforts to date to use genotypic correlates of reduced susceptibility to predict the effectiveness of anti-viral drugs, especially drugs targeted against the ever-evolving HIV are, at best, imperfect. An algorithm that can more accurately predict whether a given anti-viral

15

20

25

30

drug or combination of drugs would be effective in treating a given patient would save time and money by identifying drugs that are not likely to succeed before they are administered to the patient. More importantly, it would improve the quality of life of the patient by sparing him or her the trauma of treatment with potent toxins that result in no improvement with respect to his or her HIV infection. Therefore, an urgent need exists for a more accurate algorithm for predicting whether a particular drug would be effective for treating a particular patient. Moreover, a genotype based assay can be faster and more cost effective than phenotypic assays.

3. SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention provides methods and compositions for developing and using algorithms for determining the effectiveness of an anti-viral therapy or combination of therapies. The algorithms are based on an analysis of paired phenotypic and genotypic data guided by phenotypic clinical cut-offs (the point at which resistance to a therapy begins and sensitivity ends). The algorithms significantly improve the quality of life of a patient by accurately predicting whether a given anti-viral drug would be effective in treating the patient, thereby sparing him or her the trauma of treatment with potent toxins that result in no improvement in his or her HIV infection.

In one aspect, the present invention provides algorithms that allow one to provide a patient with an effective treatment regimen by predicting whether an infected individual will respond to treatment with an anti-viral agent or combination of agents, thereby allowing an effective treatment regimen to be designed without subjecting the patient to unnecessary side effects. Also, by avoiding the administration of ineffective drugs, considerable time and money is saved.

In another aspect, the present invention provides methods for determining the susceptibility of a virus to an anti-viral treatment, comprising detecting, in the viral genome or viral enzymes, the presence or absence of mutations associated with reduced susceptibility to the anti-viral treatment.

In another aspect, the present invention provides methods for determining the effectiveness of an anti-viral treatment of an individual infected with a virus, comprising detecting, in a sample from said individual, the presence or absence of mutations associated with reduced susceptibility to the anti-viral treatment.

10

15

20

25

30



The present invention also provides methods of monitoring the clinical progression of viral infection in individuals receiving an anti-viral treatment by determining, as described above, the effectiveness of the same or a different anti-viral treatment.

In one embodiment, the present invention provides nucleic acids and polypeptides comprising a mutation in the protease of a human immunodeficiency virus ("HIV") associated with reduced susceptibility to a protease inhibitor. Examples of protease inhibitors include, but are not limited to, saquinavir, ritonavir, indinavir, nelfinavir, amprenavir and lopinavir.

In one aspect, the invention provides a method for determining whether a human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) has an increased likelihood of having reduced susceptibility to treatment with a protease inhibitor, comprising: detecting whether the protease encoded by said HIV exhibits the presence or absence of one or more HIV protease mutations listed in Table 1; and applying a set of rules to said mutations as provided in Table 4; wherein said HIV has an increased likelihood of being resistant to treatment with said protease inhibitor if said set of rules is satisfied.

In another aspect, the invention provides a method for determining whether an individual infected with a human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) has an increased likelihood of having reduced susceptibility to treatment with a protease inhibitor, comprising: detecting, in a sample from said individual, the presence or absence of one or more HIV protease mutations listed in Table 1; and applying a set of rules to said mutations as provided in Table 4; wherein said individual has an increased likelihood of being resistant to treatment with said protease inhibitor if said set of rules is satisfied.

In another aspect, the invention provides a method for determining whether a HIV has an increased likelihood of having a reduced susceptibility to treatment with a protease inhibitor, comprising: detecting whether the protease encoded by said HIV exhibits the presence or absence of a mutation associated with reduced susceptibility to treatment with said protease inhibitor at amino acid position 11, 32, 33, 34, 43, 46, 47, 48, 50, 54, 58, 71, 76, 79, 82, 83, 84, 91 or 95 of an amino acid sequence of said protease, wherein the presence of said mutation indicates that the HIV has an increased likelihood of having reduced susceptibility to treatment with the protease inhibitor, with the proviso that said mutation is not V32I, M46I, M46L, I47V, I50V, I54L, I54M or I84V.

10

15

20

25

30

In another aspect, the invention provides a method of determining whether an individual infected with HIV has an increased likelihood of having a reduced susceptibility to treatment with a protease inhibitor, comprising: detecting, in a sample from said individual, the presence or absence of a mutation associated with reduced susceptibility to treatment with said protease inhibitor at amino acid position 11, 32, 33, 34, 43, 46, 47, 48, 50, 54, 58, 71, 76, 79, 82, 83, 84, 91 or 95 of the amino acid sequence of the protease of the HIV, wherein the presence of said mutation indicates that the individual has an increased likelihood of having reduced susceptibility to treatment with the protease inhibitor, with the proviso that said

In another aspect, the invention provides a method of determining whether a HIV has an increased likelihood of having a reduced susceptibility to treatment with a protease inhibitor, comprising: detecting whether the protease encoded by said HIV exhibits the presence or absence of a mutation selected from the group consisting of: V11L, V11L, L33F, E34Q, K43T, G48M, I54A, I54S, I54T, Q58E, A71L, L76V, P79, V82A, V82F, N83D, I84A, I84C, T91A, T91S, T91V and C95F, wherein the mutation is associated with reduced susceptibility to treatment with said protease inhibitor and the presence of said mutation indicates that the HIV has an increased likelihood of having reduced susceptibility to treatment with the protease inhibitor.

In a preferred embodiment, the protease inhibitor is amprenavir.

mutation is not V32I, M46I, M46L, I47V, I50V, I54L, I54M or I84V.

In another preferred embodiment, the human immunodeficiency virus is human immunodeficiency virus type 1 ("HIV-1").

In another aspect, the invention provides an oligonucleotide between about 10 and about 40 nucleotides long encoding a portion of a HIV protease that comprises a mutation at amino acid position 11, 32, 33, 34, 43, 46, 47, 48, 50, 54, 58, 71, 76, 79, 82, 83, 84, 91 or 95 of an amino acid sequence of said protease in said human immunodeficiency virus, wherein the mutation is associated with reduced susceptibility to a protease inhibitor, with the proviso that said mutation is not V32I, M46I, M46L, I47V, I50V, I54L, I54M or I84V.

In another embodiment, the invention provides an isolated polypeptide that comprises at least ten contiguous residues of the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:1, wherein the polypeptide comprises at least one mutation of the invention listed above, and wherein the mutation is associated with reduced susceptibility to a protease inhibitor. In a particular embodiment, the protease inhibitor is amprenavir.

10

15

20

25

30

In another embodiment, the polypeptide comprising said mutation or mutations is at least 70%, but less than 100%, identical to a polypeptide having the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:1; the polypeptide has an amino acid sequence that is greater than 80% identical to the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:1; or the polypeptide has an amino acid sequence that is greater than 90% identical to the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:1; wherein the mutation is associated with reduced susceptibility to a protease inhibitor.

In one embodiment, the invention provides a method wherein the presence or absence of a mutation in a protease is detected by hybridization with a sequence-specific oligonucleotide probe to a nucleic acid sequence of human immunodeficiency virus encoding said mutation, wherein the occurrence of hybridization indicates said presence or absence of said mutation.

In another embodiment, the invention provides a method wherein the presence or absence of a mutation in a protease is detected by determining a nucleic acid sequence encoding said mutation.

In another embodiment, the invention provides a method wherein the presence or absence of a mutation in a protease is detected by amplifying the nucleic acid by, for example, polymerase chain reaction.

In one embodiment, the individual is undergoing or has undergone prior treatment with an anti-viral drug. In another embodiment, the anti-viral drug is said or different protease inhibitor.

In one embodiment, the amino acid at position 11 of said protease is an amino acid having a neutral, hydrophobic or non-polar side chain. In another embodiment, the amino acid at position 11 of said protease is I or L. In another embodiment, the amino acid at position 33 of said protease is an amino acid with a neutral, hydrophobic or non-polar side chain. In another embodiment, the amino acid at position 33 of said protease is F. In another embodiment, the amino acid at position 34 of said protease is an amino acid having a neutral, polar or hydrophilic side chain. In another embodiment, the amino acid at position 34 of said protease is Q. In another embodiment, the amino acid at position 43 of said protease is an amino acid with a neutral, hydrophilic or polar side chain. In another embodiment, the amino acid at position 43 of said protease is T. In another embodiment, the amino acid at position 48 of said protease is an amino acid with a neutral, hydrophobic or non-polar side chain. In another embodiment, the amino acid at position

10

15

20

25

30

embodiment, the amino acid at position 54 of said protease is an amino acid with a neutral, hydrophobic, non-polar, hydrophilic or polar side chain. In another embodiment, the amino acid at position 54 of said protease is an amino acid with a neutral, hydrophobic or non-polar side chain. In another embodiment, the amino acid at position 54 of said protease is A. In another embodiment, the amino acid at position 54 of said protease is an amino acid with a neutral, hydrophilic or polar side chain. In another embodiment, the amino acid at position 54 of said protease is S or T. In another embodiment, the amino acid at position 58 of said protease is an amino acid with an acidic, hydrophilic or polar side chain. In another embodiment, the amino acid at position 58 of said protease is E. In another embodiment, the amino acid at position 71 of said protease is an amino acid with a neutral, hydrophobic or non-polar side chain. In another embodiment, the amino acid at position 71 of said protease is L. In another embodiment, the amino acid at position 76 of said protease is an amino acid with a neutral, hydrophobic or non-polar side chain. In another embodiment, the amino acid at position 76 of said protease is V. In another embodiment, the amino acid at position 79 of said protease is an amino acid with a neutral, hydrophobic, non-polar, acidic, hydrophilic or polar side chain. In another embodiment, the amino acid at position 79 of said protease is an amino acid with a neutral, hydrophobic or non-polar side chain. In another embodiment, the amino acid at position 79 of said protease is an amino acid with an acidic, hydrophilic or polar side chain. In another embodiment, the amino acid at position 79 of said protease is any amino acid, with the proviso that it is not a P. In another embodiment, the amino acid at position 82 of said protease is an amino acid with a neutral, hydrophobic or polar side chain. In another embodiment, the amino acid at position 82 of said protease is A or F. In another embodiment, the amino acid at position 83 of said protease is an amino acid with an acidic, hydrophilic or polar side chain. In another embodiment, the amino acid at position 83 of said protease is D. In another embodiment, the amino acid at position 84 of said protease is an amino acid with a neutral, hydrophobic, non-polar, hydrophilic or polar side chain. In another embodiment, the amino acid at position 84 of said protease is an amino acid with a neutral, hydrophobic or non-polar side chain. In another embodiment, the amino acid at position 84 of said protease is A. In another embodiment, the amino acid at position 84 of said protease is an amino acid with a neutral, hydrophilic or polar side chain. In another embodiment, the amino acid at position 84 of said protease is C. In another embodiment, the amino acid at position 91 of said protease is an amino acid with a neutral, hydrophobic, nonpolar, hydrophilic or polar side chain. In another embodiment, the amino acid at position 91 of said protease is an amino acid with a neutral, hydrophobic or non-polar side chain. In

10

25

another embodiment, the amino acid at position 91 of said protease is A or V. In another embodiment, the amino acid at position 91 of said protease is an amino acid with a neutral, hydrophilic or polar side chain. In another embodiment, the amino acid at position 91 of said protease is S. In another embodiment, the amino acid at position 95 of said protease is an amino acid with a neutral, hydrophobic or non-polar side chain. In another embodiment, the amino acid at position 95 of said protease is F.

In another aspect, the invention provides a method for detecting the presence or absence of a mutation associated with reduced susceptibility to treatment with said protease inhibitor at at least 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18 or 19 of the amino acid positions.

4. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE FIGURES

- FIG. 1 is a diagrammatic representation of the genomic structure of HIV-1.
- FIG. 2 is a flow-chart depicting the steps followed to arrive at a final set of samples that was analyzed in detail.
- FIG. 3A shows the amino acid sequence of the NL4-3 HIV (GenBank Accession No. P12497) protease (SEQ. ID. NO: 1).
 - FIG. 3B shows the nucleic acid sequence for the NL4-3 HIV (GenBank Accession No. AF324493) protease gene (SEQ. ID. NO: 2).
 - FIG. 4 shows the tree generated by CART analysis of 2499 samples.
- FIG. 5 is a matrix of pairs of mutations associated with amprenavir resistance.
 - FIG. 6 shows the tree generated by the next round of CART analysis of 2499 samples.
 - FIG. 7 shows the re-sensitization to amprenavir resistance of an I50V containing virus by N88S. Phenotypic susceptibility of clones containing I50V; I50V and N88S; or I50V and L90M to protease inhibitors with the mean fold-change (error bars represent one standard deviation) for each group of clones is shown;. Drug names are abbreviated as follows: APV, amprenavir; IDV, indinavir; LPV, lopinavir; NFV, nelfinavir; RTV, ritonavir; SQV, saquinavir; ATV, atazanavir (BMS 232632).

10

5. DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The present invention provides methods and compositions for developing an algorithm for determining the effectiveness of anti-viral drugs based on a comprehensive analysis of paired phenotypic and genotypic data guided by phenotypic clinical cut-offs. The present invention also provides methods for determining the susceptibility of a virus to an anti-viral treatment, methods for determining the effectiveness of an anti-viral treatment of an individual infected with a virus, and methods of monitoring the clinical progression of viral infection in individuals receiving anti-viral treatment. In another aspect, the present invention also provides nucleic acids and polypeptides comprising a mutation in the protease of a human immunodeficiency virus ("HIV") associated with reduced susceptibility to protease inhibitors, e.g., amprenavir.

5.1 Abbreviations

"APV" is an abbreviation for the protease inhibitor amprenavir.

"PI" is an abbreviation for protease inhibitor.

"PT-R" and "PT-S" are abbreviations for "phenotypically resistant" and "phenotypically sensitive," respectively.

"GT-R" and "GT-S" are abbreviations for "genotypically resistant" and "genotypically sensitive," respectively.

"PCR" is an abbreviation for "polymerase chain reaction."

20 "FC" is an abbreviation for "fold change."

The amino acid notations used herein for the twenty genetically encoded L-amino acids are conventional and are as follows:

Amino Acid	One-Letter Abbreviation	Three Letter Abbreviation
Alanine	A	Ala
Arginine	R	Arg
Asparagine	N	Asn
Aspartic acid	D	Asp
Cysteine	C	Cys
Glutamine	Q	Gln
Glutamic acid	E	Glu
Glycine	G	Gly

10

20

Amino Acid	One-Letter Abbreviation	Three Letter Abbreviation
Histidine	H	His
Isoleucine	I	Пе
Leucine	L	Leu
Lysine	K	Lys
Methionine	M	Met
Phenylalanine	F	Phe
Proline	P	Pro
Serine	. S	Ser
Threonine	T	Thr
Tryptophan	w	Trp
Tyrosine	Y	Тут
Valine	v	Val

Unless noted otherwise, when polypeptide sequences are presented as a series of oneletter and/or three-letter abbreviations, the sequences are presented in the N -> C direction, in accordance with common practice.

Individual amino acids in a sequence are represented herein as AN, wherein A is the standard one letter symbol for the amino acid in the sequence, and N is the position in the sequence. Mutations are represented herein as A1NA2, wherein A1 is the standard one letter symbol for the amino acid in the reference protein sequence, A_2 is the standard one letter symbol for the amino acid in the mutated protein sequence, and N is the position in the amino acid sequence. For example, a G25M mutation represents a change from glycine to methionine at amino acid position 25. Mutations may also be represented herein as NA2, wherein N is the position in the amino acid sequence and A2 is the standard one letter symbol for the amino acid in the mutated protein sequence (e.g., 25M, for a change from the wildtype amino acid to methionine at amino acid position 25). Additionally, mutations may also be represented herein as A1N, wherein A1 is the standard one letter symbol for the amino acid in the reference protein sequence and N is the position in the amino acid sequence (e.g., G25 represents a change from glycine to any amino acid at amino acid position 25). This notation is typically used when the amino acid in the mutated protein sequence is either not known or, if the amino acid in the mutated protein sequence could be any amino acid, except that found in the reference protein sequence. The amino acid positions are numbered based on the full-length sequence of the protein from which the region encompassing the mutation is derived. Representations of nucleotides and point mutations in DNA sequences are analogous.

The abbreviations used throughout the specification to refer to nucleic acids comprising specific nucleobase sequences are the conventional one-letter abbreviations. Thus, when included in a nucleic acid, the naturally occurring encoding nucleobases are abbreviated as follows: adenine (A), guanine (G), cytosine (C), thymine (T) and uracil (U). Unless specified otherwise, single-stranded nucleic acid sequences that are represented as a series of one-letter abbreviations, and the top strand of double-stranded sequences, are presented in the 5' -> 3' direction.

5.2 Definitions

10

15

20

25

30

As used herein, the following terms shall have the following meanings:

Unless otherwise specified, "primary mutation" refers to a mutation that affects the enzyme active site, i.e. at those amino acid positions that are involved in the enzyme-substrate complex, or that reproducibly appears in an early round of replication when a virus is subject to the selective pressure of an anti-viral agent, or, that has a large effect on phenotypic susceptibility to an anti-viral agent.

"Secondary Mutation" refers to a mutation that is not a primary mutation and that contributes to reduced susceptibility or compensates for gross defects imposed by a primary mutation.

A "phenotypic assay" is a test that measures the sensitivity of a virus (such as HIV) to a specific anti-viral agent.

A "genotypic assay" is a test that determines a genetic sequence of an organism, a part of an organism, a gene or a part of a gene. Such assays are frequently performed in HIV to establish whether certain mutations are associated with drug resistance are present.

As used herein, "genotypic data" are data about the genotype of, for example, a virus. Examples of genotypic data include, but are not limited to, the nucleotide or amino acid sequence of a virus, a part of a virus, a viral gene, a part of a viral gene, or the identity of one or more nucleotides or amino acid residues in a viral nucleic acid or protein.

"Susceptibility" refers to a virus' response to a particular drug. A virus that has decreased or reduced susceptibility to a drug has an increased resistance or decreased sensitivity to the drug. A virus that has increased or enhanced or greater susceptibility to a drug has an increased sensitivity or decreased resistance to the drug.

10

15

20

25

30



Phenotypic susceptibility of a virus to a given drug is a continuum. Nonetheless, it is practically useful to define a threshold or thresholds to simplify interpretation of a particular fold-change result. For drugs where sufficient clinical outcome data have been gathered, it is possible to define a "clinical cutoff value," as below.

"Clinical Cutoff Value" refers to a specific point at which resistance begins and sensitivity ends. It is defined by the drug susceptibility level at which a patient's probability of treatment failure with a particular drug significantly increases. The cutoff value is different for different anti-viral agents, as determined in clinical studies. Clinical cutoff values are determined in clinical trials by evaluating resistance and outcomes data. Drug susceptibility (phenotypic) is measured at treatment initiation. Treatment response, such as change in viral load, is monitored at predetermined time points through the course of the treatment. The drug susceptibility is correlated with treatment response and the clinical cutoff value is determined by resistance levels associated with treatment failure (statistical analysis of overall trial results).

"ICn" refers to Inhibitory Concentration. It is the concentration of drug in the patient's blood or *in vitro* needed to suppress the reproduction of a disease-causing microorganism (such as HIV) by n %. Thus, "IC50" refers to the concentration of an anti-viral agent at which virus replication is inhibited by 50% of the level observed in the absence of the drug. "Patient IC50" refers to the drug concentration required to inhibit replication of the virus from a patient by 50% and "reference IC50" refers to the drug concentration required to inhibit replication of a reference or wild-type virus by 50%. Similarly, "IC90" refers to the concentration of an anti-viral agent at which 90% of virus replication is inhibited.

A "fold change" is a numeric comparison of the drug susceptibility of a patient virus and a drug-sensitive reference virus. It is the ratio of the Patient IC50 to the drug-sensitive reference IC50, i.e., Patient IC50/Reference IC50 = Fold Change ("FC"). A fold change of 1.0 indicates that the patient virus exhibits the same degree of drug susceptibility as the drug-sensitive reference virus. A fold change less than 1 indicates the patient virus is more sensitive than the drug-sensitive reference virus. A fold change greater than 1 indicates the patient virus is less susceptible than the drug-sensitive reference virus. A fold change equal to or greater than the clinical cutoff value means the patient virus has a lower probability of response to that drug. A fold change less than the clinical cutoff value means the patient virus is sensitive to that drug.

10

15

20

30

"Amprenavir Fold Change" refers to the ratio of the IC₅₀ of amprenavir against the HIV from the patient plasma sample to the IC₅₀ for amprenavir against the NL4-3 (GenBank Accession No. AF324493) reference viral strain.

A virus is "sensitive" to APV if it has an APV fold change less than 2.5.

A virus is "resistant" to APV if it has an APV fold change of 2.5 or more.

A virus has an "increased likelihood of having reduced susceptibility" to an anti-viral treatment if the virus has a property, for example, a mutation, that is correlated with a reduced susceptibility to the anti-viral treatment. A property of a virus is correlated with a reduced susceptibility if a population of viruses having the property is, on average, less susceptible to the anti-viral treatment than an otherwise similar population of viruses lacking the property. Thus, the correlation between the presence of the property and reduced susceptibility need not be absolute, nor is there a requirement that the property is necessary (i.e., that the property plays a causal role in reducing susceptibility) or sufficient (i.e., that the presence of the property alone is sufficient) for conferring reduced susceptibility.

The term "% sequence homology" is used interchangeably herein with the terms "% homology," "% sequence identity" and "% identity" and refers to the level of amino acid sequence identity between two or more peptide sequences, when aligned using a sequence alignment program. For example, as used herein, 80% homology means the same thing as 80% sequence identity determined by a defined algorithm, and accordingly a homologue of a given sequence has greater than 80% sequence identity over a length of the given sequence. Exemplary levels of sequence identity include, but are not limited to, 60, 70, 80, 85, 90, 95, 98% or more sequence identity to a given sequence.

Exemplary computer programs which can be used to determine identity between two sequences include, but are not limited to, the suite of BLAST programs, e.g., BLASTN, BLASTN, BLASTN, and TBLASTX, BLASTP and TBLASTN, publicly available on the Internet at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST/. See also Altschul et al., 1990, J. Mol. Biol. 215:403-10 (with special reference to the published default setting, i.e., parameters w=4, t=17) and Altschul et al., 1997, Nucleic Acids Res., 25:3389-3402. Sequence searches are typically carried out using the BLASTP program when evaluating a given amino acid sequence relative to amino acid sequences in the GenBank Protein Sequences and other public databases. The BLASTX program is preferred for searching nucleic acid sequences that have been translated in all reading frames against amino acid sequences in the GenBank

15

20

25

30



Protein Sequences and other public databases. Both BLASTP and BLASTX are run using default parameters of an open gap penalty of 11.0, and an extended gap penalty of 1.0, and utilize the BLOSUM-62 matrix. See Altschul, et al., 1997.

A preferred alignment of selected sequences in order to determine "% identity" between two or more sequences, is performed using for example, the CLUSTAL-W program in MacVector version 6.5, operated with default parameters, including an open gap penalty of 10.0, an extended gap penalty of 0.1, and a BLOSUM 30 similarity matrix.

"Polar Amino Acid" refers to a hydrophilic amino acid having a side chain that is uncharged at physiological pH, but which has at least one bond in which the pair of electrons shared in common by two atoms is held more closely by one of the atoms. Genetically encoded polar amino acids include Asn (N), Gln (Q) Ser (S) and Thr (T).

"Nonpolar Amino Acid" refers to a hydrophobic amino acid having a side chain that is uncharged at physiological pH and which has bonds in which the pair of electrons shared in common by two atoms is generally held equally by each of the two atoms (i.e., the side chain is not polar). Genetically encoded apolar amino acids include Ala (A), Gly (G), Ile (I), Leu (L), Met (M) and Val (V).

"Hydrophilic Amino Acid" refers to an amino acid exhibiting a hydrophobicity of less than zero according to the normalized consensus hydrophobicity scale of Eisenberg et al., 1984, J. Mol. Biol. 179:125-142. Genetically encoded hydrophilic amino acids include Arg (R), Asn (N), Asp (D), Glu (E), Gln (Q), His (H), Lys (K), Ser (S) and Thr (T).

"Hydrophobic Amino Acid" refers to an amino acid exhibiting a hydrophobicity of greater than zero according to the normalized consensus hydrophobicity scale of Eisenberg et al., 1984, J. Mol. Biol. 179:125-142. Genetically encoded hydrophobic amino acids include Ala (A), Gly (G), Ile (I), Leu (L), Met (M), Phe (F), Pro (P), Trp (W), Tyr (Y) and Val (V).

"Acidic Amino Acid" refers to a hydrophilic amino acid having a side chain pK value of less than? Acidic amino acids typically have negatively charged side chains at physiological pH due to loss of a hydrogen ion. Genetically encoded acidic amino acids include Asp (D) and Glu (E).

"Basic Amino Acid" refers to a hydrophilic amino acid having a side chain pK value of greater than?. Basic amino acids typically have positively charged side chains at physiological pH due to association with hydronium ion. Genetically encoded basic amino acids include Arg (R), His (H) and Lys (K).

10

20

25

30

A "mutation" is a change in an amino acid sequence or in a corresponding nucleic acid sequence relative to a reference nucleic acid or polypeptide. For embodiments of the invention comprising HIV protease or reverse transcriptase, the reference nucleic acid encoding protease or reverse transcriptase is the protease or reverse transcriptase coding sequence, respectively, present in NL4-3 HIV (GenBank Accession No. AF324493). Likewise, the reference protease or reverse transcriptase polypeptide is that encoded by the NL4-3 HIV sequence. Although the amino acid sequence of a peptide can be determined directly by, for example, Edman degradation or mass spectroscopy, more typically, the amino sequence of a peptide is inferred from the nucleotide sequence of a nucleic acid that encodes the peptide. Any method for determining the sequence of a nucleic acid known in the art can be used, for example, Maxam-Gilbert sequencing (Maxam et al., 1980, Methods in Enzymology 65:499), dideoxy sequencing (Sanger et al., 1977, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 74:5463) or hybridization-based approaches (see e.g., Sambrook et al., 2001, Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, 3rd ed., NY; and Ausubel et al., 1989, Current Protocols in Molecular Biology, Greene Publishing Associates and Wiley Interscience, NY).

A "resistance-associated mutation" ("RAM") in a virus is a mutation correlated with reduced susceptibility of the virus to anti-viral agents. A RAM can be found in several viruses, including, but not limited to a human immunodeficiency virus ("HIV"). Such mutations can be found in one or more of the viral proteins, for example, in the protease, integrase, envelope or reverse transcriptase of HIV. A RAM is defined relative to a reference strain. For embodiments of the invention comprising HIV protease, the reference protease is the protease encoded by NLA-3 HIV (GenBank Accession No. AF324493).

A "mutant" is a virus, gene or protein having a sequence that has one or more changes relative to a reference virus, gene or protein.

The terms "peptide," "polypeptide" and "protein" are used interchangeably throughout.

The terms "reference" and "wild-type" are used interchangeably throughout.

The terms "polynucleotide," "oligonucleotide" and "nucleic acid" are used interchangeably throughout.

10

15

20

25

30



5.3 Resistance-Associated Mutations

The present invention provides nucleic acids and polypeptides comprising a mutation in the protease of HIV. Preferably, the HIV is human immunodeficiency virus type 1 ("HIV-1"). In one embodiment, the mutation is associated with reduced susceptibility to a protease inhibitor. In another embodiment, the mutation is associated with increased susceptibility to a protease inhibitor. The protease inhibitor can be any protease inhibitor known to one of skill in the art. Examples of protease inhibitors include, but are not limited to, saquinavir, ritonavir, indinavir, nelfinavir, amprenavir and lopinavir. In one embodiment, the protease inhibitor is amprenavir.

In one aspect, the present invention provides peptides, polypeptides or proteins comprising a mutation in the protease of HIV associated with either reduced or increased susceptibility to a protease inhibitor, e.g., amprenavir. In one embodiment, the invention provides a polypeptide derived from the HIV protease and comprising a mutation associated with reduced susceptibility to a protease inhibitor. In another embodiment, the polypeptide comprises more than one mutation associated with reduced susceptibility to a protease inhibitor. In another embodiment, the polypeptide comprises a mutation associated with increased susceptibility to a protease inhibitor. In another embodiment, the polypeptide comprises more than one mutation associated with increased susceptibility to a protease inhibitor. Polypeptides of the invention include peptides, polypeptides and proteins that are modified or derived from these polypeptides. In one embodiment, the polypeptide comprises post-translational modifications. In another embodiment, the polypeptide comprises one or more amino acid analogs.

In a preferred embodiment, the polypeptide comprises one or more mutations associated with reduced susceptibility to amprenavir. Table 1 provides a list of mutations associated with reduced susceptibility to amprenavir.

In another preferred embodiment, the invention provides a polypeptide derived from the HIV protease and comprising at least one mutation selected from a group of mutations consisting of: V11I, V11L, L33F, E34Q, K43T, G48M, I54A, I54S, I54T, Q58E, A71L, L76V, P79, V82A, V82F, N83D, I84A, I84C, T91A, T91S, T91V and C95F, wherein the mutation is associated with reduced susceptibility to amprenavir.

10

15

20

25

30



In another preferred embodiment, the polypeptide comprising said mutation comprises at least 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35, 40, 45, 50, 60, 70, 80, 85, 90 or 95 contiguous amino acids of SEO ID NO: 1, within which sequence said mutation or mutations can be present.

In another embodiment, the polypeptide comprising said mutation or mutations is at least 70%, but less than 100%, identical to a polypeptide having the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:1; the polypeptide has an amino acid sequence that is greater than 80% identical to the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:1; or the polypeptide has an amino acid sequence that is greater than 90% identical to the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:1; wherein the mutation is associated with reduced susceptibility to a protease inhibitor.

In one embodiment, said polypeptide is naturally-occurring. In another embodiment, said polypeptide is artificially designed.

To determine the percent identity of two amino acid sequences or of two nucleic acids, the sequences are aligned for optimal comparison purposes (e.g., gaps can be introduced in the sequence of a first amino acid or nucleic acid sequence for optimal alignment with a second amino or nucleic acid sequence). The amino acid residues or nucleotides at corresponding amino acid positions or nucleotide positions are then compared. When a position in the first sequence is occupied by the same amino acid residue or nucleotide as the corresponding position in the second sequence, then the molecules are identical at that position. The percent identity between the two sequences is a function of the number of identical positions shared by the sequences (% identity = # of identical positions/total # of positions (e.g., overlapping positions) x 100). In one embodiment, the two sequences are the same length.

The determination of percent identity between two sequences can be accomplished using a mathematical algorithm. A preferred, non-limiting example of a mathematical algorithm utilized for the comparison of two sequences is the algorithm of Karlin and Altschul (1990) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 87:2264-2268, modified as in Karlin and Altschul (1993) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 90:5873-5877. Such an algorithm is incorporated into the NBLAST and XBLAST programs of Altschul, *et al.* (1990) *J. Mol. Biol.* 215:403-410. BLAST nucleotide searches can be performed with the NBLAST program, score = 100, wordlength = 12 to obtain nucleotide sequences homologous to a nucleic acid molecules of the invention. BLAST protein searches can be performed with the XBLAST program, score = 50, wordlength = 3 to obtain amino acid sequences homologous to a protein molecules of

10

15

20

25

30



the invention. To obtain gapped alignments for comparison purposes, Gapped BLAST can be utilized as described in Altschul et al. (1997) Nucleic Acids Res. 25:3389-3402.

Alternatively, PSI-Blast can be used to perform an iterated search that detects distant relationships between molecules. Id. When utilizing BLAST, Gapped BLAST, and PSI-Blast programs, the default parameters of the respective programs (e.g., XBLAST and NBLAST) can be used. See http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov.

Another preferred, non-limiting example of a mathematical algorithm utilized for the comparison of sequences is the algorithm of Myers and Miller, CABIOS (1989). Such an algorithm is incorporated into the ALIGN program (version 2.0) that is part of the CGC sequence alignment software package. When utilizing the ALIGN program for comparing amino acid sequences, a PAM120 weight residue table, a gap length penalty of 12, and a gap penalty of 4 can be used. Additional algorithms for sequence analysis are known in the art and include ADVANCE and ADAM as described in Torellis and Robotti (1994) Comput. Appl. Biosci., 10:3-5; and FASTA described in Pearson and Lipman (1988) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 85:2444-8. Within FASTA, ktup is a control option that sets the sensitivity and speed of the search. If ktup=2, similar regions in the two sequences being compared are found by looking at pairs of aligned residues; if ktup=1, single aligned amino acids are examined. ktup can be set to 2 or 1 for protein sequences, or from 1 to 6 for DNA sequences. The default if ktup is not specified is 2 for proteins and 6 for DNA.

The percent identity between two sequences can be determined using techniques similar to those described above, with or without allowing gaps. In calculating percent identity, typically exact matches are counted.

In another aspect, the present invention provides polynucleotides, oligonucleotides or nucleic acids encoding or relating to a polypeptide of the invention or a biologically active portion thereof, including, for example, nucleic acid molecules sufficient for use as hybridization probes, PCR primers or sequencing primers for identifying, analyzing, mutating or amplifying the nucleic acids of the invention.

In one embodiment, the nucleic acid encodes a polypeptide comprising a mutation in the protease of HIV associated with either reduced or increased susceptibility to a protease inhibitor, e.g., amprenavir. In one embodiment, the invention provides a nucleic acid encoding a polypeptide derived from the HIV protease and comprising one or more mutations associated with reduced susceptibility to a protease inhibitor. In another embodiment, the

10

15

20

25

30



nucleic acid encodes a polypeptide that comprises one or more mutations associated with increased susceptibility to a protease inhibitor. Nucleic acids of the invention include nucleic acids, polynucleotides and oligonucleotides that are modified or derived from these nucleic acid sequences. In one embodiment, the nucleic acid comprises nucleic acid analogs. In one embodiment, the na is naturally-occurring. In another embodiment, said nucleic acid is artificially designed.

The nucleic acid can be any length. The nucleic acid can be, for example, at least 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 45, 50, 55, 60, 65, 70, 75, 80, 85, 90, 100, 110, 120, 125, 150, 175, 200, 250, 300, 350, 375, 400, 425, 450, 475 or 500 nucleotides in length. The nucleic acid can be, for example, less than 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 45, 50, 55, 60, 65, 70, 75, 80, 85, 90, 100, 110, 120, 125, 150, 175, 200, 250, 300, 350, 375, 400, 425, 450, 475, 500, 525, 550, 575, 600, 650, 700, 750, 800, 850, 900, 950, 1000, 1100, 1200, 1300, 1400, 1500, 1600, 1700, 1800, 1900, 2000, 2500, 3000, 3500, 4000, 4500, 5000, 5500, 6000, 6500, 7000, 7500, 8000, 8500, 9000, 9500 or 10000 nucleotides in length. In a preferred embodiment, the nucleic acid has a length and a sequence suitable for detecting a mutation described herein, for example, as a probe or a primer.

In one embodiment, the nucleic acid encodes a polypeptide, or a portion of a polypeptide, that comprises one or more mutations associated with reduced susceptibility to amprenavir. Table 1 provides a list of mutations associated with reduced susceptibility to amprenavir.

In another embodiment, the invention provides an oligonucleotide encoding a polypeptide derived from the HIV protease and comprising at least one mutation selected from a group of mutations consisting of: V11I, V11L, L33F, E34Q, K43T, G48M, I54A, I54S, I54T, Q58E, A71L, L76V, P79, V82A, V82F, N83D, I84A, I84C, T91A, T91S, T91V and C95F.

In another embodiment, said oligonucleotide comprising said mutation comprises 15, 30, 45, 60, 75, 90, 105, 120, 135, 150, 180, 210, 240, 255, 270 or 285 contiguous nucleic acids of SEQ ID NO: 2, within which sequence said mutation or mutations can be present.

In another embodiment, the oligonucleotide comprising said mutation or mutations is at least 60%, but less than 100%, identical to an oligonucleotide having the nucleic acid

15

20

25

30

sequence of SEQ ID NO:2; the oligonucleotide has an nucleic acid sequence that is greater than 70% identical to the nucleic acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:2; the oligonucleotide has an nucleic acid sequence that is greater than 80% identical to the nucleic acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:2; or the oligonucleotide has an nucleic acid sequence that is greater than 90% identical to the nucleic acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:2, wherein the mutation is associated with reduced susceptibility to a protease inhibitor. The percent identity of two nucleic acid sequences can be determined as described above.

In addition to the nucleotide sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2, it will be appreciated by those skilled in the art that DNA sequence polymorphisms that lead to changes in the amino acid sequence may exist within a population (e.g., the human population). Such genetic polymorphisms may exist among individuals within a population due to natural allelic variation. Natural allelic variations can typically result in 1-5% variance in the nucleotide sequence of a given gene. Any and all such nucleotide variations and resulting amino acid variations or polymorphisms that are the result of natural allelic variation and that do not alter the functional activity are intended to be within the scope of the invention.

In another embodiment, the present invention provides nucleic acid molecules that are suitable for use as primers or hybridization probes for the detection of nucleic acid sequences of the invention. A nucleic acid molecule of the invention can comprise only a portion of a nucleic acid sequence encoding a full length polypeptide of the invention for example, a fragment that can be used as a probe or primer or a fragment encoding a biologically active portion of a polypeptide of the invention. The probe can comprise a labeled group attached thereto, e.g., a radioisotope, a fluorescent compound, an enzyme, or an enzyme co-factor. In various embodiments, the nucleic acid molecules of the invention can be modified at the base moiety, sugar moiety or phosphate backbone.

5.4 Finding Drug Resistance-Associated Viral Mutations

In another aspect, the present invention provides methods for finding resistanceassociated mutation in a virus or a derivative of the virus.

5.4.1 The Virus and Viral Samples

A resistance-associated mutation ("RAM") according to the present invention can be present in any type of virus, for example, any virus found in animals. In one embodiment of the invention, the virus includes viruses known to infect mammals, including dogs, cats,

10

15

20

25

30



horses, sheep, cows etc. In a preferred embodiment, the virus is known to infect primates. In an even more preferred embodiment the virus is known to infect humans. Examples of human viruses include, but are not limited to, human immunodeficiency virus ("HIV"), herpes simplex virus, cytomegalovirus virus, varicella zoster virus, other human herpes viruses, influenza A virus, respiratory syncytial virus, hepatitis A, B and C viruses, rhinovirus, and human papilloma virus. In a preferred embodiment of the invention, the virus is HIV. Preferably, the virus is human immunodeficiency virus type 1 ("HIV-1"). The foregoing are representative of certain viruses for which there is presently available anti-viral chemotherapy and represent the viral families retroviridae, herpesviridae, orthomyxoviridae, paramxyxovirus, picomavirus, flavivirus, pneumovirus and hepadnaviridae. This invention can be used with other viral infections due to other viruses within these families as well as viral infections arising from viruses in other viral families for which there is or there is not a currently available therapy.

A RAM according to the present invention can be found in a viral sample obtained by any means known in the art for obtaining viral samples. Such methods include, but are not limited to, obtaining a viral sample from a human or an animal infected with the virus or obtaining a viral sample from a viral culture. In one embodiment, the viral sample is obtained from a human individual infected with the virus. The viral sample could be obtained from any part of the infected individual's body or any secretion expected to contain the virus. Examples of such parts include, but are not limited to blood, serum, plasma, sputum, lymphatic fluid, semen, vaginal mucus and samples of other bodily fluids. In a preferred embodiment, the sample is a blood, serum or plasma sample.

In another embodiment, a RAM according to the present invention is present in a virus that can be obtained from a culture. In some embodiments, the culture can be obtained from a laboratory. In other embodiments, the culture can be obtained from a collection, for example, the American Type Culture Collection.

In certain embodiments, a RAM according to the present invention is present in a derivative of a virus. In one embodiment, the derivative of the virus is not itself pathogenic. In another embodiment, the derivative of the virus is a plasmid-based system, wherein replication of the plasmid or of a cell transfected with the plasmid is affected by the presence or absence of the selective pressure, such that mutations are selected that increase resistance to the selective pressure. In some embodiments, the derivative of the virus comprises the nucleic acids or proteins of interest, for example, those nucleic acids or proteins to be

10

15

20

25

30

targeted by an anti-viral treatment. In one embodiment, the genes of interest can be incorporated into a vector. See, e.g., U.S. Patent Numbers 5,837,464 and 6,242,187 and PCT publication, WO 99/67427, each of which is incorporated herein by reference. In a preferred embodiment, the genes can be those that encode for a protease or reverse transcriptase.

In another embodiment, the intact virus need not be used. Instead, a part of the virus incorporated into a vector can be used. Preferably that part of the virus is used that is targeted by an anti-viral drug.

In another embodiment, a RAM according to the present invention is present in a genetically modified virus. The virus can be genetically modified using any method known in the art for genetically modifying a virus. For example, the virus can be grown for a desired number of generations in a laboratory culture. In one embodiment, no selective pressure is applied (i.e., the virus is not subjected to a treatment that favors the replication of viruses with certain characteristics), and new mutations accumulate through random genetic drift. In another embodiment, a selective pressure is applied to the virus as it is grown in culture (i.e., the virus is grown under conditions that favor the replication of viruses having one or more characteristics). In one embodiment, the selective pressure is an anti-viral treatment. Any known anti-viral treatment can be used as the selective pressure. In one embodiment, the virus is HIV and the selective pressure is a protease inhibitor. In another embodiment, the virus is HIV-1 and the selective pressure is a protease inhibitor. Any protease inhibitor can be used to apply the selective pressure. Examples of protease inhibitors include, but are not limited to, saquinavir, ritonavir, indinavir, nelfinavir, amprenavir and lopinavir. In one embodiment, the protease inhibitor is selected from a group consisting of saquinavir, ritonavir, indinavir, nelfinavir, amprenavir and lopinavir. In another embodiment, the protease inhibitor is amprenavir. By treating HIV cultured in vitro with a protease inhibitor, e.g., amprenavir, one can select for mutant strains of HIV that have an increased resistance to amprenavir. The stringency of the selective pressure can be manipulated to increase or decrease the survival of viruses not having the selected-for characteristic.

In another aspect, a RAM according to the present invention is made by mutagenizing a virus, a viral genome, or a part of a viral genome. Any method of mutagenesis known in the art can be used for this purpose. In one embodiment, the mutagenesis is essentially random. In another embodiment, the essentially random mutagenesis is performed by exposing the virus, viral genome or part of the viral genome to a mutagenic treatment. In another embodiment, a gene that encodes a viral protein that is the target of an anti-viral

15

20

25

30

therapy is mutagenized. Examples of essentially random mutagenic treatments include, for example, exposure to mutagenic substances (e.g., ethidium bromide, ethylmethanesulphonate, ethyl nitroso urea (ENU) etc.) radiation (e.g., ultraviolet light), the insertion and/or removal of transposable elements (e.g., Tn5, Tn10), or replication in a cell, cell extract, or in vitro replication system that has an increased rate of mutagenesis. See, e.g., Russell et al., 1979, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 76:5918-5922; Russell, W., 1982, Environmental Mutagens and Carcinogens: Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Environmental Mutagens. One of skill in the art will appreciate that while each of these methods of mutagenesis is essentially random, at a molecular level, each has its own preferred targets.

In another aspect, a mutation that might affect the sensitivity of a virus to an anti-viral therapy is made using site-directed mutagenesis. Any method of site-directed mutagenesis known in the art can be used (see e.g., Sambrook et al., 2001, Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, 3rd ed., NY; and Ausubel et al., 1989, Current Protocols in Molecular Biology, Greene Publishing Associates and Wiley Interscience, NY). The site directed mutagenesis can be directed to, e.g., a particular gene or genomic region, a particular part of a gene or genomic region, or one or a few particular nucleotides within a gene or genomic region. In one embodiment, the site directed mutagenesis is directed to a viral genomic region, gene, gene fragment, or nucleotide based on one or more criteria. In one embodiment, a gene or a portion of a gene is subjected to sitedirected mutagenesis because it encodes a protein that is known or suspected to be a target of an anti-viral therapy, e.g., the gene encoding the HIV protease. In another embodiment, a portion of a gene, or one or a few nucleotides within a gene, are selected for site-directed mutagenesis. In one embodiment, the nucleotides to be mutagenized encode amino acid residues that are known or suspected to interact with an anti-viral compound. In another embodiment, the nucleotides to be mutagenized encode amino acid residues that are known or suspected to be mutated in viral strains having decreased susceptibility to the anti-viral treatment. In another embodiment, the mutagenized nucleotides encode amino acid residues that are adjacent to or near in the primary sequence of the protein residues known or suspected to interact with an anti-viral compound or known or suspected to be mutated in viral strains having decreased susceptibility to an anti-viral treatment. In another embodiment, the mutagenized nucleotides encode amino acid residues that are adjacent to or near to in the secondary, tertiary or quaternary structure of the protein residues known or suspected to interact with an anti-viral compound or known or suspected to be mutated in

10

15

20

25

30

viral strains having decreased susceptibility to an anti-viral treatment. In another embodiment, the mutagenized nucleotides encode amino acid residues in or near the active site of a protein that is known or suspected to bind to an anti-viral compound. See, e.g., Sarkar and Sommer, 1990, Biotechniques, 8:404-407.

5.4.2 Detecting the Presence or Absence of Mutations in a Virus

The presence or absence of a RAM according to the present invention in a virus can be detected by any means known in the art for detecting a mutation. The mutation can be detected in the viral gene that encodes a particular protein, or in the protein itself, *i.e.*, in the amino acid sequence of the protein.

In one embodiment, the mutation is in the viral genome. Such a mutation can be in, for example, a gene encoding a viral protein, in a cis or trans acting regulatory sequence of a gene encoding a viral protein, an intergenic sequence, or an intron sequence. The mutation can affect any aspect of the structure, function, replication or environment of the virus that changes its susceptibility to an anti-viral treatment. In one embodiment, the mutation is in a gene encoding a viral protein that is the target of an anti-viral treatment.

A mutation within a viral gene can be detected by utilizing a number of techniques. Viral DNA or RNA can be used as the starting point for such assay techniques, and may be isolated according to standard procedures which are well known to those of skill in the art.

The detection of a mutation in specific nucleic acid sequences, such as in a particular region of a viral gene, can be accomplished by a variety of methods including, but not limited to, restriction-fragment-length-polymorphism detection based on allele-specific restriction-endonuclease cleavage (Kan and Dozy, 1978, Lancet ii:910-912), mismatch-repair detection (Faham and Cox, 1995, Genome Res 5:474-482), binding of MutS protein (Wagner et al., 1995, Nucl Acids Res 23:3944-3948), denaturing-gradient gel electrophoresis (Fisher et al., 1983, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 80:1579-83), single-strand-conformation-polymorphism detection (Orita et al., 1983, Genomics 5:874-879), RNAase cleavage at mismatched basepairs (Myers et al., 1985, Science 230:1242), chemical (Cotton et al., 1988, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 85:4397-4401) or enzymatic (Youil et al., 1995, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 92:87-91) cleavage of heteroduplex DNA, methods based on oligonucleotide-specific primer extension (Syvänen et al., 1990, Genomics 8:684-692), genetic bit analysis (Nikiforov et al., 1994, Nucl Acids Res 22:4167-4175), oligonucleotide-ligation assay (Landegren et al., 1988, Science 241:1077), oligonucleotide-specific ligation chain reaction ("LCR") (Barrany, 1991,

10

15

20

25

30

Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 88:189-193), gap-LCR (Abravaya et al., 1995, Nucl Acids Res 23:675-682), radioactive or fluorescent DNA sequencing using standard procedures well known in the art, and peptide nucleic acid (PNA) assays (Orum et al., 1993, Nucl. Acids Res. 21:5332-5356; Thiede et al., 1996, Nucl. Acids Res. 24:983-984).

In addition, viral DNA or RNA may be used in hybridization or amplification assays to detect abnormalities involving gene structure, including point mutations, insertions, deletions and genomic rearrangements. Such assays may include, but are not limited to, Southern analyses (Southern, 1975, *J. Mol. Biol.* 98:503-517), single stranded conformational polymorphism analyses (SSCP) (Orita et al., 1989, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 86:2766-2770), and PCR analyses (U.S. Patent Nos. 4,683,202; 4,683,195; 4,800,159; and 4,965,188; PCR Strategies, 1995 Innis et al. (eds.), Academic Press, Inc.).

Such diagnostic methods for the detection of a gene-specific mutation can involve for example, contacting and incubating the viral nucleic acids with one or more labeled nucleic acid reagents including recombinant DNA molecules, cloned genes or degenerate variants thereof, under conditions favorable for the specific annealing of these reagents to their complementary sequences. Preferably, the lengths of these nucleic acid reagents are at least 15 to 30 nucleotides. After incubation, all non-annealed nucleic acids are removed from the nucleic acid molecule hybrid. The presence of nucleic acids which have hybridized, if any such molecules exist, is then detected. Using such a detection scheme, the nucleic acid from the virus can be immobilized, for example, to a solid support such as a membrane, or a plastic surface such as that on a microtiter plate or polystyrene beads. In this case, after incubation, non-annealed, labeled nucleic acid reagents of the type described above are easily removed. Detection of the remaining, annealed, labeled nucleic acid reagents is accomplished using standard techniques well-known to those in the art. The gene sequences to which the nucleic acid reagents have annealed can be compared to the annealing pattern expected from a normal gene sequence in order to determine whether a gene mutation is present.

Alternative diagnostic methods for the detection of gene specific nucleic acid molecules may involve their amplification, e.g., by PCR (U.S. Patent Nos. 4,683,202; 4,683,195; 4,800,159; and 4,965,188; PCR Strategies, 1995 Innis et al. (eds.), Academic Press, Inc.), followed by the detection of the amplified molecules using techniques well known to those of skill in the art. The resulting amplified sequences can be compared to those which would be expected if the nucleic acid being amplified contained only normal copies of the respective gene in order to determine whether a gene mutation exists.

10

15

20

25

30

Additionally, the nucleic acid can be sequenced by any sequencing method known in the art. For example, the viral DNA can be sequenced by the dideoxy method of Sanger et al., 1977, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 74:5463, as further described by Messing et al., 1981, Nuc. Acids Res. 9:309, or by the method of Maxam et al., 1980, Methods in Enzymology 65:499. See also the techniques described in Sambrook et al., 2001, Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, 3rd ed., NY; and Ausubel et al., 1989, Current Protocols in Molecular Biology, Greene Publishing Associates and Wiley Interscience, NY.

Antibodies directed against the viral gene products, i.e., viral proteins or viral peptide fragments can also be used to detect mutations in the viral proteins. Alternatively, the viral protein or peptide fragments of interest can be sequenced by any sequencing method known in the art in order to yield the amino acid sequence of the protein of interest. An example of such a method is the Edman degradation method which can be used to sequence small proteins or polypeptides. Larger proteins can be initially cleaved by chemical or enzymatic reagents known in the art, for example, cyanogen bromide, hydroxylamine, trypsin or chymotrypsin, and then sequenced by the Edman degradation method.

5.5 Measuring Phenotypic Susceptibility of a Mutant Virus

Any method known in the art can be used to determine the phenotypic susceptibility of a mutant virus or population of viruses to an anti-viral therapy. See e.g., U.S. Patent Nos. 5,837,464 and 6,242,187, incorporated herein by reference in their entirities. In some embodiments a phenotypic analysis is performed, i.e., the susceptibility of the virus to a given anti-viral agent is assayed with respect to the susceptibility of a reference virus without the mutations. This is a direct, quantitative measure of drug susceptibility and can be performed by any method known in the art to determine the susceptibility of a virus to an anti-viral agent. An example of such methods includes, but is not limited to, determining the fold change in IC50 values with respect to a reference virus. Phenotypic testing measures the ability of a specific viral strain to grow in vitro in the presence of a drug inhibitor. A virus is less susceptible to a particular drug when more of the drug is required to inhibit viral activity, versus the amount of drug required to inhibit the reference virus.

In one embodiment, a phenotypic analysis is performed and used to calculate the IC₅₀ or IC₉₀ of a drug for a viral strain. The results of the analysis can also be presented as fold-change in IC₅₀ or IC₉₀ for each viral strain as compared with a drug-susceptible control strain

10

15

20

25

30

or a prior viral strain from the same patient. Because the virus is directly exposed to each of the available anti-viral medications, results can be directly linked to treatment response. For example, if the patient virus shows resistance to a particular drug, that drug is avoided or omitted from the patient's treatment regimen, allowing the physician to design a treatment plan that is more likely to be effective for a longer period of time.

In another embodiment, the phenotypic analysis is performed using recombinant virus assays ("RVAs"). RVAs use virus stocks generated by homologous recombination between viral vectors and viral gene sequences, amplified from the patient virus. In some embodiments, the viral vector is a HIV vector and the viral gene sequences are protease and/or reverse transcriptase sequences.

In a preferred embodiment, the phenotypic analysis is performed using PHENOSENSETM (ViroLogic Inc., South San Francisco, CA). See Petropoulos et al., 2000, Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 44:920-928; U.S. Patent Nos. 5,837,464 and 6,242,187. PHENOSENSETM is a phenotypic assay that achieves the benefits of phenotypic testing and overcomes the drawbacks of previous assays. Because the assay has been automated, PHENOSENSETM offers higher throughput under controlled conditions. The result is an assay that accurately defines the susceptibility profile of a patient's HIV isolates to all currently available antiretroviral drugs, and delivers results directly to the physician within about 10 to about 15 days of sample receipt. PHENOSENSETM is accurate and can obtain results with only one round of viral replication, thereby avoiding selection of subpopulations of virus. The results are quantitative, measuring varying degrees of drug susceptibility, and sensitive - the test can be performed on blood specimens with a viral load of about 500 copies/mL and can detect minority populations of some drug-resistant virus at concentrations of 10% or less of total viral population. Furthermore, the results are reproducible and can vary by less than about 1.4-2.5 fold, depending on the drug, in about 95% of the assays performed.

PHENOSENSETM can be used with nucleic acids from amplified viral gene sequences. As discussed in Section 5.4.1, the sample containing the virus may be a sample from a human or an animal infected with the virus or a sample from a culture of viral cells. In one embodiment, the viral sample comprises a genetically modified laboratory strain.

A resistance test vector ("RTV") can then be constructed by incorporating the amplified viral gene sequences into a replication defective viral vector by using any method

10

15

20

25

30

known in the art of incorporating gene sequences into a vector. In one embodiment, restrictions enzymes and conventional cloning methods are used. See Sambrook et al., 2001, Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, 3rd ed., NY; and Ausubel et al., 1989, Current Protocols in Molecular Biology, Greene Publishing Associates and Wiley Interscience, NY. In a preferred embodiment, Apal and PinAl restriction enzymes are used. Preferably, the replication defective viral vector is the indicator gene viral vector ("IGVV"). In a preferred embodiment, the viral vector contains a means for detecting replication of the RTV. Preferably, the viral vector contains a luciferase expression cassette.

The assay can be performed by first co-transfecting host cells with RTV DNA and a plasmid that expresses the envelope proteins of another retrovirus, for example, amphotropic murine leukemia virus (MLV). Following transfection, virus particles can be harvested and used to infect fresh target cells. The completion of a single round of viral replication can be detected by the means for detecting replication contained in the vector. In a preferred embodiment, the completion of a single round of viral replication results in the production of luciferase. Serial concentrations of anti-viral agents can be added at either the transfection step or the infection step.

Susceptibility to the anti-viral agent can be measured by comparing the replication of the vector in the presence and absence of the anti-viral agent. For example, susceptibility to the anti-viral agent can be measured by comparing the luciferase activity in the presence and absence of the anti-viral agent. Susceptible viruses would produce low levels of luciferase activity in the presence of antiviral agents, whereas viruses with reduced susceptibility would produce higher levels of luciferase activity.

In preferred embodiments, PHENOSENSETM is used in evaluating the phenotypic susceptibility of HIV-1 to anti-viral drugs. Preferably, the anti-viral drug is a protease inhibitor. More preferably, it is amprenavir. In preferred embodiments, the reference viral strain is HIV strain NL4-3 or HXB-2.

In one embodiment, viral nucleic acid, for example, HIV-1 RNA is extracted from plasma samples, and a fragment of, or entire viral genes could be amplified by methods such as, but not limited to PCR. See, e.g., Hertogs et al., 1998, Antimicrob Agents Chemother 42(2):269-76. In one example, a 2.2-kb fragment containing the entire HIV-1 PR- and RT-coding sequence is amplified by nested reverse transcription-PCR. The pool of amplified nucleic acid, for example, the PR-RT-coding sequences, is then cotransfected into a host cell

10

15

20

25

such as CD4+ T lymphocytes (MT4) with the pGEMT3deltaPRT plasmid from which most of the PR (codons 10 to 99) and RT (codons 1 to 482) sequences are deleted. Homologous recombination leads to the generation of chimeric viruses containing viral coding sequences, such as the PR- and RT-coding sequences derived from HIV-1 RNA in plasma. The susceptibilities of the chimeric viruses to all currently available anti-viral agents targeting the products of the transfected genes (proRT and/or PR inhibitors, for example), can be determined by any cell viability assay known in the art. For example, an MT4 cell-3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl) -2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide-based cell viability assay can be used in an automated system that allows high sample throughput. The profile of resistance to all the anti-viral agents, such as the RT and PR inhibitors can be displayed graphically in a single PR-RT-Antivirogram.

Other assays for evaluating the phenotypic susceptibility of a virus to anti-viral drugs known to one of skill in the art can be used. See, e.g., Shi and Mellors, 1997, Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 41(12):2781-85; Gervaix et al., 1997, Proc Natl Acad Sci U. S. A. 94(9):4653-8; Race et al., 1999, AIDS 13:2061-2068, incorporated herein by reference in their entirities.

In another embodiment, the susceptibility of a virus to treatment with an anti-viral treatment is determined by assaying the activity of the target of the anti-viral treatment in the presence of the anti-viral treatment. In one embodiment, the virus is HIV, the anti-viral treatment is a protease inhibitor, and the target of the anti-viral treatment is the HIV protease. See, e.g., U. S. Patent Nos. 5,436,131, 6,103,462, incorporated herein by reference in their entirities.

5.6 Correlating Phenotypic and Genotypic Susceptibility

Any method known in the art can be used to determine whether a mutation is correlated with a decrease in susceptibility of a virus to an anti-viral treatment and thus is a RAM according to the present invention. In one embodiment, P values are used to determine the statistical significance of the correlation, such that the smaller the P value, the more significant the measurement. Preferably the P values will be less than 0.05. More preferably, P values will be less than 0.01. P values can be calculated by any means known to one of skill in the art. In one embodiment, P values are calculated using Fisher's Exact Test. See, e.g., David Freedman, Robert Pisani & Roger Purves, 1980, STATISTICS, W. W. Norton, New York.

10

15

20

25

30

In a preferred embodiment, numbers of samples with the mutation being analyzed that have an IC₅₀ fold change below or above 2.5-fold are compared to numbers of samples without the mutation. A 2x2 table can be constructed and the P value can be calculated using Fisher's Exact Test (see Example 1). P values smaller than 0.05 or 0.01 can be classified as statistically significant.

5.7 Determining Susceptibility to the Anti-Viral Treatment

In another aspect, the present invention provides a method for determining a virus' susceptibility to anti-viral treatment. Resistance-associated mutations (RAMs) can be identified and correlated with reduced susceptibility of a virus to an anti-viral treatment as described in Sections 5.3-5.6 above. The presence of a RAM in a virus can be detected by any means known in the art, e.g., as discussed in Section 5.4.2 above. The presence of a RAM in the virus can indicate that the virus has an increased likelihood of having reduced susceptibility for the anti-viral treatment. In one embodiment, the virus is human immunodeficiency virus (HIV). In another embodiment, the virus is human immunodeficiency virus type-1 (HIV-1). In another embodiment, the anti-viral treatment is a protease inhibitor. Examples of protease inhibitors include, but are not limited to, saquinavir, ritonavir, indinavir, nelfinavir, amprenavir and lopinavir. In one embodiment, the protease inhibitor is selected from a group consisting of saquinavir, ritonavir, indinavir, nelfinavir, amprenavir and lopinavir, ritonavir, indinavir, nelfinavir, amprenavir and lopinavir.

In another embodiment, the invention provides a method for determining whether a HIV has an increased likelihood of having a reduced susceptibility to treatment with a protease inhibitor, comprising detecting in the protease of said HIV the presence or absence of a mutation associated with reduced susceptibility to treatment with said protease inhibitor at amino acid position 11, 32, 33, 34, 43, 46, 47, 48, 50, 54, 58, 71, 76, 79, 82, 83, 84, 91 or 95 of an amino acid sequence of said protease, wherein the presence of said mutation indicates that the HIV has an increased likelihood of having reduced susceptibility to treatment with the protease inhibitor, with the proviso that said mutation is not V32I, M46I, M46L, I47V, I50V, I54L, I54M or I84V.

In another embodiment, the invention provides a method of determining whether a HIV has an increased likelihood of having a reduced susceptibility to treatment with a protease inhibitor, comprising detecting in the protease of said HIV the presence or absence of a mutation selected from the group consisting of: V11I, V11L, L33F, E34Q, K43T, G48M,

10

15

20

25

30

154A, 154S, 154T, Q58E, A71L, L76V, P79, V82A, V82F, N83D, I84A, I84C, T91A, T91S, T91V and C95F, wherein the mutation is associated with reduced susceptibility to treatment with said protease inhibitor and the presence of said mutation indicates that the HIV has an increased likelihood of having reduced susceptibility to treatment with the protease inhibitor compared to a HIV without said mutation, e.g., a wild type or reference HIV.

In another aspect, the present invention provides a method for determining the susceptibility of an individual infected with a virus to anti-viral treatment. Resistance-associated mutations (RAMs) can be identified and correlated with reduced susceptibility of a virus to an anti-viral treatment as described in Sections 5.3-5.6 above. The presence of a RAM in a virus present in a sample from the individual can be detected by any means known in the art, e.g., as discussed in Section 5.4.2 above. The presence of a RAM in the virus can indicate that the individual has an increased likelihood of having reduced susceptibility for the anti-viral treatment. In one embodiment, the virus is HIV. In another embodiment, the virus is HIV-1. In another embodiment, the anti-viral treatment is a protease inhibitor. Examples of protease inhibitors include, but are not limited to, saquinavir, ritonavir, indinavir, nelfinavir, amprenavir and lopinavir. In one embodiment, the protease inhibitor is selected from a group consisting of saquinavir, ritonavir, indinavir, nelfinavir, amprenavir and lopinavir. In another embodiment, the protease inhibitor is amprenavir.

In another embodiment, the invention provides a method of determining whether an individual infected with HIV has an increased likelihood of having a reduced susceptibility to treatment with a protease inhibitor, comprising detecting, in a sample from said individual, the presence or absence of a mutation associated with reduced susceptibility to treatment with said protease inhibitor at amino acid position 11, 32, 33, 34, 43, 46, 47, 48, 50, 54, 58, 71, 76, 79, 82, 83, 84, 91 or 95 of the amino acid sequence of the protease of the HIV, wherein the presence of said mutation indicates that the individual has an increased likelihood of having reduced susceptibility to treatment with the protease inhibitor, with the proviso that said mutation is not V32I, M46I, M46L, I47V, I50V, I54L, I54M or I84V.

In another embodiment, the invention provides a method for determining the effectiveness of protease inhibitor treatment of an individual infected with a HIV, comprising detecting, in a sample from said individual, the presence or absence of a mutation associated with reduced susceptibility to treatment with said protease inhibitor at amino acid position 11, 32, 33, 34, 43, 46, 47, 48, 50, 54, 58, 71, 76, 79, 82, 83, 84, 91 or 95 of the amino acid sequence of the protease of the HIV, wherein the presence of said mutation indicates that the

10

15

20

25

30



individual has a reduced susceptibility to treatment with said protease inhibitor, with the proviso that said mutation is not V32I, M46I, M46L, I47V, I50V, I54L, I54M or I84V.

In another embodiment, the invention provides a method of determining whether an individual infected with HIV has an increased likelihood of having a reduced susceptibility to treatment with a protease inhibitor, comprising detecting in the protease of said HIV the presence or absence of a mutation associated with reduced susceptibility to treatment with said protease inhibitor selected from the group consisting of: V11I, V11L, L33F, E34Q, K43T, G48M, I54A, I54S, I54T, Q58E, A71L, L76V, P79, V82A, V82F, N83D, I84A, I84C, T91A, T91S, T91V and C95F, wherein the presence of said mutation indicates that the individual has an increased likelihood of having reduced susceptibility to treatment with the protease inhibitor compared to an individual infected with a HIV without said mutation, e.g., a wild type or reference HIV.

5.8 Constructing an Algorithm

In one aspect, the present invention provides a method of constructing an algorithm that correlates genotypic data about a virus with phenotypic data about the virus. In one embodiment, the phenotypic data relate to the susceptibility of the virus to an anti-viral treatment. In another embodiment, the anti-viral treatment is an anti-viral compound. In another embodiment, the anti-viral compound is a protease inhibitor. In another embodiment, the protease inhibitor is amprenavir.

In one embodiment, the method of constructing the algorithm comprises creating a rule or rules that correlate genotypic data about a set of viruses with phenotypic data about the set of viruses.

In one embodiment, a data set comprising genotypic and phenotypic data about each virus in a set of viruses is assembled. Any method known in the art can be used to collect genotypic data about a virus. Examples of methods of collecting such data are provided above. Any method known in the art can be used for collecting phenotypic data about a virus. Examples of such methods are provided above. In a preferred embodiment, the data set comprises one or more RAMs as described above. In one embodiment, each genotypic datum is the sequence of all or part of a viral protein of a virus in the set of viruses. In another embodiment, each genotypic datum in the data set is a single amino acid change in a protein encoded by the virus, relative to a reference protein in the reference virus. In other embodiments, the genotype comprises two, three, four, five, six or more amino acid changes

10

15

20

25

30

in the viral protein. In another embodiment, the virus is HIV, and the protein is HIV protease. In a preferred embodiment, the virus is HIV-1. In another embodiment, the reference protein is the protease from NL4-3 HIV.

In one embodiment, each phenotypic datum in the data set is the susceptibility to an anti-viral treatment of a virus in the set of viruses. In one embodiment, the anti-viral treatment is an anti-viral compound. In another embodiment, the anti-viral compound is a protease inhibitor. In a preferred embodiment, the protease inhibitor is amprenavir. In one embodiment, the susceptibility is measured as a change in the susceptibility of the virus relative to a reference virus. In another embodiment, the susceptibility is measured as a change in the IC₅₀ of the virus relative to a reference virus. In another embodiment, the change in IC₅₀ is represented as the fold-change in IC₅₀. In certain embodiments the virus is HIV. In a preferred embodiment, the virus is HIV-1. In another preferred embodiment, the reference HIV is NL4-3 HIV.

The genotypic and phenotypic data in the data set can be represented or organized in any way known in the art. In one embodiment, the data are displayed in the form of a graph. In this type of representation, the y-axis represents the fold change in IC₅₀ of a virus in the data set relative to a reference virus. Each point on the graph corresponds to one virus in the data set. The x-axis represents the number of mutations that a virus in the data set has. The position of the point indicates both the number of mutations and the fold change in anti-viral therapy treatment that the virus has, both measured relative to a reference strain. In another embodiment, the genotypic and phenotypic data in the data set are displayed in the form of a chart.

In one aspect, an algorithm is formulated that correlates the genotypic data with the phenotypic data in the data set. In one embodiment, a phenotypic cutoff point is defined. In a preferred embodiment, the phenotype is susceptibility to an anti-viral treatment. In another embodiment, the phenotype is change in sensitivity to an anti-viral treatment relative to a reference virus, and the cutoff point is the value above which a virus or population of viruses is defined as phenotypically resistant ("PT-R") to the anti-viral therapy and below which a virus or population of viruses is defined as phenotypically sensitive ("PT-S") to the anti-viral therapy. In some embodiments, the cutoff point is 2-fold, 2.5-fold, 3-fold, 5-fold, 10-fold, 15-fold, 20-fold, 30-fold, 40-fold, 50-fold or 100-fold greater than the IC₅₀ of a reference virus. In some embodiments, the phenotypic cutoff point is the clinical cutoff value as defined above. In a preferred embodiment, the virus is HIV and the anti-viral therapy is

15

20

25

30

treatment with a protease inhibitor. In a preferred embodiment, the protease inhibitor is amprenavir.

In some embodiments, the phenotypic cutoff point is used to define a genotypic cutoff point. In one embodiment this is done by correlating the number of mutations in a virus of the data set with the phenotypic susceptibility of the virus. This can be done, for example, using a graph similar to one discussed above. A genotypic cutoff point is selected such that most viruses having more than that number of mutations in the data set are phenotypically resistant ("PT-R"), and most viruses having fewer than that number of mutations are phenotypically sensitive ("PT-S"). By definition, a virus in the data set with number of mutations equal to, or more than the genotypic cutoff is genotypically resistant ("GT-R") to the anti-viral treatment, and a virus in the data set with fewer than the genotypic cutoff number of mutations is genotypically sensitive ("GT-S") to the anti-viral treatment. Thus, in one embodiment, a genotypic cutoff point is selected that produces the greatest percentage of viruses in the data set that are either phenotypically resistant and genotypically resistant ("PT-R, GT-R"), or phenotypically sensitive and genotypically sensitive ("PT-S, GT-S").

While this algorithm can provide a useful approximation of the relationship between the genotypic and phenotypic data in the data set, in most cases there will be a significant number of strains that are genotypically sensitive but phenotypically resistant ("GT-S, PT-R"), or genotypically resistant but phenotypically sensitive ("GT-R, PT-S"). Thus, in a preferred embodiment, the algorithm is further modified to reduce the percentage of discordant results in the data set. This is done, for example, by removing from the data set each data point that corresponds to a virus population comprising a mixture of mutations including the wild-type, at a single position considered by the algorithm tested. This can have the effect of reducing the number of PT-S, GT-R results, thus lowering the overall percentage of discordant results and so improves the fit of the algorithm to a data set.

In another embodiment, differential weight values are assigned to one or more mutations observed in the data set. An algorithm that does not include this step assumes that each mutation in the data set contributes equally to the overall resistance of a virus or population of viruses to an anti-viral therapy. For example a mutation could be present in a data set that is almost always correlated with phenotypic resistance to an anti-viral treatment. That is, almost every virus that has the mutation is phenotypically resistant to the anti-viral treatment, even those strains having only one or two total mutations. In one embodiment, such mutations are "weighted," *i.e.*, assigned an increased mutation score. A mutation can be

20

25

30



assigned a weight of, for example, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight or more. For example, a mutation assigned a weight of 2 will be counted as two mutations in a virus. Fractional weighting values can also be assigned. In another embodiment, values of less than 1, and of less than zero, can be assigned, wherein a mutation is associated with an increased sensitivity of the virus to the anti-viral treatment.

One of skill in the art will appreciate that there is a tradeoff involved in assigning an increased weight to certain mutations. As the weight of the mutation is increased, the number of GT-R, PT-S discordant results may increase. Thus, assigning a weight to a mutation that is too great may increase the overall discordance of the algorithm. Accordingly, in one embodiment, a weight is assigned to a mutation that balances the reduction in GT-S, PT-R discordant results with the increase in GT-R, PT-S discordant results.

In another embodiment, the interaction of different mutations in the data set with each other is also factored into the algorithm. For example, it might be found that two or more mutations behave synergistically, i.e., that the coincidence of the mutations in a virus contributes more significantly to the resistance of the virus than would be predicted based on the effect of each mutation independent of the other. Alternatively, it might be found that the coincidence of two or more mutations in a virus contributes less significantly to the resistance of the virus than would be expected from the contributions made to resistance by each mutation when it occurs independently. Also, two or more mutations may be found to occur more frequently together than as independent mutations. Thus, in one embodiment, mutations occurring together are weighted together. For example, only one of the mutations is assigned a weight of 1 or greater, and the other mutation or mutations are assigned a weight of zero, in order to avoid an increase in the number of GT-R, PT-S discordant results.

In another aspect, the phenotypic cutoff point can be used to define a genotypic cutoff point by correlating the number as well as the class of mutations in a virus of the data set with the phenotypic susceptibility of the virus. Examples of classes of mutations include, but are not limited to, primary amino acid mutations, secondary amino acid mutations, mutations in which the net charge on the polypeptide is conserved and mutations that do not alter the polarity, hydrophobicity or hydrophilicity of the amino acid at a particular position. Other classes of mutations that are within the scope of the invention would be evident to one of skill in the art, based on the teachings herein.

10

15

20

25

30

In one embodiment, an algorithm is constructed that factors in the requirement for one or more classes of mutations. In another embodiment, the algorithm factors in the requirement for a minimum number of one or more classes of mutations. In another embodiment, the algorithm factors in the requirement for a minimum number of primary or secondary mutations. In another embodiment, the requirement for a primary or a secondary mutation in combination with other mutations is also factored into the algorithm. For example, it might be found that a virus with a particular combination of mutations is resistant to an anti-viral treatment, whereas a virus with any mutation in that combination, alone or with other mutations that are not part of the combination, is not resistant to the anti-viral treatment.

By using, for example, the methods discussed above, the algorithm can be designed to achieve any desired result. In one embodiment, the algorithm is designed to maximize the overall concordance (the sum of the percentages of the PT-R, GT-R and the PT-S, GT-S groups, or 100 minus (percentage of the PT-S, GT-R + PT-R, GT-S groups). In preferred embodiments, the overall concordance is greater than about 75%, 80%, 85%, 90% or 95%. In another embodiment, the algorithm is designed to minimize the percentage of PT-R, GT-S results. In another embodiment, the algorithm is designed to minimize the percentage of PT-S, GT-R results. In another embodiment, the algorithm is designed to maximize the percentage of PT-S, GT-S results. In another embodiment, the algorithm is designed to maximize the percentage of PT-R, GT-R results.

At any point during the construction of the algorithm, or after it is constructed, it can be further tested on a second data set. In one embodiment, the second data set consists of viruses that are not included in the data set used to construct the algorithm, i.e., the second data set is a naive data set. In another embodiment, the second data set contains one or more viruses that were in the data set used to construct the algorithm and one or more viruses that were not in that data set. Use of the algorithm on a second data set, particularly a naive data set, allows the predictive capability of the algorithm to be assessed. Thus, in one embodiment, the accuracy of an algorithm is assessed using a second data set, and the rules of the algorithm are modified as described above to improve its accuracy. In a preferred embodiment, an iterative approach is used to create the algorithm, whereby an algorithm is tested and then modified repeatedly until a desired level of accuracy is achieved.

In one aspect, the construction or implementation of the algorithm can begin with a few "starting mutations" and proceed in steps in which it factors in the presence of certain

10

20

30

mutations or classes of mutations. In one embodiment, the algorithm factors in the presence of either I50V on its own or, any one or more of V32I, I54L or M, I84A or V plus two secondary mutations. Any of the secondary mutations listed in Table 5 can be used. Next, the algorithm factors in other mutations in addition to the starting mutations. The additional mutations can include, e.g., 82F and I84C as well as any one or more of 54A, 54S or 54T. In one embodiment, the algorithm, in all future stages, factors in a minimum number of secondary mutations. In a more particular embodiment, the algorithm, in all future stages, factors in at least 2 secondary mutations. The algorithm can then factor in the presence of additional mutations, e.g., the combination of 33F and 82A. When the algorithm factors in the combination of 2 or more mutations, it is generally understood that both mutations, e.g., 33F and 82A, be present in the same virus (or sample). Finally, the algorithm can factor in additional combinations, e.g., the combination of 46I or 46L with any one or more of 47V, 54V, 71L, 76V, or 82A. During the construction or implementation of an algorithm as described above, a decrease in the overall discordance as well as the percentage of data in the PT-R, GT-S group decreased with each step of the algorithm is indicative that the algorithm improved each time in correctly predicting the mutations and combinations of mutations that led to phenotypic resistance.

5.9 Using an Algorithm to Predict the Susceptibility of a Virus

In another aspect, the present invention also provides a method for using an algorithm of the invention to predict the phenotypic susceptibility of a virus or a derivative of a virus to an anti-viral treatment based on the genotype of the virus. In one embodiment, the method comprises detecting, in the virus or derivative of the virus, the presence or absence of one or more RAMs, applying the rules of the algorithm to the detected RAMs, wherein a virus that satisfies the rules of the algorithm is genotypically resistant to the anti-viral treatment, and a virus that does not satisfy the rules of the algorithm is genotypically sensitive to the anti-viral treatment. In another embodiment, the method comprises detecting, in the virus or derivative of the virus, the presence or absence of one or more RAMs, applying the rules of the algorithm to the detected RAMs, wherein a score equal to, or greater than the genotypic cutoff score indicates that the virus is genotypically resistant to the anti-viral treatment, and a score less than the genotypic cutoff score indicates that the virus is genotypically sensitive to the anti-viral treatment.

10

15

20

25

30

The algorithm of this invention can be used for any viral disease where anti-viral drug susceptibility is a concern, as discussed above in Section 5.4.1. In certain embodiments the assay of the invention can be used to determine the susceptibility of a retrovirus to an anti-viral drug. In a preferred embodiment, the retrovirus is HIV. Preferably, the virus is HIV-1.

The anti-viral agent of the invention could be any treatment effective against a virus. It is useful to the practice of this invention, for example, to understand the structure, life cycle and genetic elements of the viruses which can be tested in the drug susceptibility test of this invention. These would be known to one of ordinary skill in the art and provide, for example, key enzymes and other molecules at which the anti-viral agent can be targeted. Examples of anti-viral agents of the invention include, but are not limited to, nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors such as AZT, ddI, ddC, d4T, 3TC, abacavir, nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitors such as tenofovir, non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors such as nevirapine, efavirenz, delavirdine, fusion inhibitors such as T-20 and T-1249 and protease inhibitors such as saquinavir, ritonavir, indinavir, nelfinavir, amprenavir and lopinavir.

In some embodiments of the invention, the anti-viral agents are directed at retroviruses. In certain embodiments, the anti-viral agents are protease inhibitors such as saquinavir, ritonavir, indinavir, nelfinavir, amprenavir and lopinavir. In a preferred embodiment, the anti-viral agent is amprenavir.

Some mutations associated with reduced susceptibility to treatment with an anti-viral agent are known in the art. See, e.g., Maguire et al., 2002, Antimicrob Agents Chemother 46:731-738. Others can be determined by methods described in Sections 5.4-5.8 above. For example, Table 1 provides a list of mutations associated with reduced susceptibility to amprenavir.

5.10 Using an Algorithm to Predict the Effectiveness of Anti-Viral Treatment for an Individual

In another aspect, the present invention also provides a method for using an algorithm of the invention to predict the effectiveness of an anti-viral treatment for an individual infected with a virus based on the genotype of the virus to the anti-viral treatment. In one embodiment, the method comprises detecting, in the virus or derivative of the virus, the presence or absence of one or more RAMs, applying the rules of the algorithm to the detected RAMs, wherein a virus that satisfies the rules of the algorithm is genotypically resistant to the anti-viral treatment, and a virus that does not satisfy the rules of the algorithm is

10

15

20

25

30

genotypically sensitive to the anti-viral treatment. In another embodiment, the method comprises detecting, in the virus or a derivative of the virus, the presence or absence of one or more RAMs, applying the rules of the algorithm to the detected RAMs, wherein a score equal to, or greater than the genotypic cutoff score indicates that the virus is genotypically resistant to the anti-viral treatment, and a score less than the genotypic cutoff score indicates that the virus is genotypically sensitive to the anti-viral treatment.

As described in Section 5.4.1 above, the algorithm of the invention can be used for any viral disease where anti-viral drug susceptibility is a concern and the anti-viral agent of the invention could be any treatment effective against a virus. In certain embodiments the assay of the invention is used to determine the susceptibility of a retrovirus to an anti-viral drug. In a preferred embodiment, the retrovirus is HIV. Preferably, the virus is HIV-1. In some embodiments of the invention, the anti-viral agents are directed at retroviruses. In certain embodiments, the anti-viral agents are protease inhibitors such as saquinavir, ritonavir, indinavir, nelfinavir, amprenavir and lopinavir. In a preferred embodiment, the anti-viral agent is amprenavir.

As described in Section 5.9 above, mutations associated with reduced susceptibility to treatment with an anti-viral agent may be obtained from the art or determined by methods described above in Sections 5.4 - 5.8.

In some embodiments, the present invention provides a method for monitoring the effectiveness of an anti-viral treatment in an individual infected with a virus and undergoing or having undergone prior treatment with the same or different anti-viral treatment, comprising, detecting, in a sample of said individual, the presence or absence of an amino acid residue associated with reduced susceptibility to treatment the anti-viral treatment, wherein the presence of the residue correlates with a reduced susceptibility to treatment with the anti-viral treatment.

5.11 Correlating Susceptibility to one Anti-Viral Treatment with Susceptibility to Another Anti-Viral Treatment

In another aspect, the present invention provides a method for using an algorithm of the invention to predict the effectiveness of an anti-viral treatment against a virus based on the genotypic susceptibility of the virus to a different anti-viral treatment. In one embodiment, the method comprises detecting, in a virus or a derivative of a virus, the presence or absence of one or more mutations correlated with resistance to an anti-viral

10

15

20

25

30

treatment and applying the rules of an algorithm of the invention to the detected mutations, wherein a virus that satisfies the rules of the algorithm is genotypically resistant to the antiviral treatment, and a virus that does not satisfy the rules of the algorithm is genotypically sensitive to the anti-viral treatment. In another embodiment, the method comprises detecting, in the virus or a derivative of the virus, the presence or absence of one or more mutations correlated with resistance to an anti-viral treatment and applying the rules of the algorithm to the detected mutations, wherein a score equal to, or greater than the genotypic cutoff score indicates that the virus is genotypically resistant to a different anti-viral treatment, and a score less than the genotypic cutoff score indicates that the virus is genotypically sensitive to a different anti-viral treatment. In another embodiment, the two anti-viral treatments affect the same viral protein. In another embodiment, the two anti-viral treatments are both protease inhibitors. Examples of protease inhibitors include, but are not limited to, saquinavir, ritonavir, indinavir, nelfinavir, amprenavir and lopinavir. In another embodiment, one of the

6. EXAMPLES

inhibitor.

The following examples are provided to illustrate certain aspects of the present invention and not intended as limiting the subject matter thereof.

two anti-viral treatments is amprenavir. In another embodiment, a mutation correlated with resistance to one protease inhibitor is also correlated with resistance to another protease

6.1 Example 1: Analysis of Patient Samples to Identify Resistance-Associated Mutations

This example demonstrates a method of analyzing patient samples so as to identify mutations that are associated either with increased or with decreased susceptibility to protease inhibitors such as amprenavir.

In order to determine the relationship between an HIV-1 strain's protease sequence and its susceptibility to treatment with amprenavir, a data set of 10,513 samples was used. From this data set, all "wild type" samples, i.e., samples with FC < 2 for all protease inhibitors and no drug selected mutation were removed. Figure 2 shows a flow-chart depicting the steps followed to arrive at a final set of samples that was analyzed in detail. The drug-selected PI mutations used as a criterion for removing a sample were those that occurred at amino acid positions 23, 24, 30, 32, 33F, 46, 48, 50, 53, 54, 82 (except 82I), 84,

10

15

20

25

30

88 or 90 of the HIV protease (Figure 2). Next, samples with the same genotype were removed from the data set. This resulted in a data set of 4414 patient plasma samples that was analyzed genotypically as well as phenotypically.

The phenotypic assay was conducted using the PHENOSENSE™ (Virologic, South San Francisco, CA) HIV assay (Petropoulos et al., 2000, Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 44:920-928; U.S. Patent Nos. 5,837,464 and 6,242,187). IC₅₀ values for amprenavir were obtained for the HIV-1 from the patient sample. This was compared to the IC50 for amprenavir against the NL4-3 (GenBank Accession No. AF324493) reference viral strain. Phenotypic data were expressed as "fold change" (or log fold change) in 50% inhibitory concentration (IC50) of amprenavir. The fold IC50 values were calculated by dividing the IC₅₀ of amprenavir against the HIV-1 from the patient plasma sample by the IC₅₀ for amprenavir against the NL4-3 (GenBank Accession No. AF324493) reference viral strain. The cut-off used to define phenotypic resistance to APV was 2.5-fold. This cut-off value has not been derived from clinical outcome studies, however the 2.5-fold threshold is meaningful because: strong correlations exist between phenotypic results using this cutoff and clinical response data in cohorts that included patients receiving amprenavir (Haubrich et al., 2001, Antivir Ther 6 (suppl 1):63; Katzenstein et al., 2002, 9th CROI, Seattle, WA); reductions in susceptibility to amprenavir observed in patients who experienced viral load rebound while using amprenavir as their first PI are modest (as low as 2-3 fold) (Maguire et al., 2002, Antimicrob Agents Chemother 46:731-738); and the 99th percentile for the distribution of amprenavir FC in genotypically wild-type viruses using the PhenoSenseTM Assaŷ was 2.1-fold. For those mutants that had an amprenavir FC >2.5, %R and %S values were calculated according to the formulae:

%R = (number of samples with mutant that were PT-R) / (total no. of PT-R samples)
%S = (number of samples with mutant that were PT-S) / (total no. of PT-S samples)

In order to define the genotypic changes correlated with reduced susceptibility to amprenavir, the entire amino acid sequences of HIV proteases in each of the patients' samples were analyzed. Mutations were compared to the protease sequence of the NL4-3 (GenBank Accession No. AF324493) reference strain. All positions that were mutated in at least 1% of the 4414 samples (i.e., in at least 44 samples) were analyzed in detail. Mixtures were counted as mutants. In some cases, different amino acids at the same position were

10

15

20

25

30



grouped together (e.g., position 67), whereas at other positions (e.g., position 82), the different mutations (e.g., V82A, F, S or T) were counted separately.

P values were calculated for determining the statistical significance of the phenotypic and genotypic correlations. For each mutation the number of samples in the data set that had an APV FC < 2.5 or an APV FC > 2.5 were compared in samples with or without the mutation in question. A 2x2 table was constructed and the P value was calculated using Fisher's Exact test. Mutations that had a P < 0.001 and R/R/S > 3 were considered to be associated with reduced susceptibility to amprenavir; while mutations that had a P < 0.001 and a R/R/S < 0.3 were considered to be associated with increased susceptibility to amprenavir. Other values for P, e.g., P < 0.05 and R/R/S ratios, e.g., R/R/S > 4 or 5 and R/R/S < 0.25 or 0.2, which are within the scope of the invention, will be evident to one of skill in the art based on the teachings herein. Table 2 provides the entire list of mutations that were analyzed and Table 1 provides a list of mutations that were associated with either reduced or increased susceptibility to amprenavir (i.e., those mutations with P < 0.001 and R/R/S > 3 or R/R/S < 0.3).

6.2 Example 2: Correlation of Amprenavir Susceptibility to the Mutations in HIV Protease

This example demonstrates the construction of an algorithm that correlates the mutations in the protease gene of an HIV with its susceptibility to amprenavir.

A data set of 4414 patient plasma samples was analyzed and mutations associated with reduced susceptibility to amprenavir were identified, as described in Example 1. The phenotypic susceptibility to amprenavir (amprenavir fold change) was analyzed as a function of the number of mutations in the protease of the HIV present in a patient's plasma sample. The fold change for each sample was calculated by dividing the IC₅₀ of amprenavir against the HIV from the patient's plasma sample by the IC₅₀ for amprenavir against the NL4-3 (GenBank Accession No. AF324493) reference viral strain. The genotype data was obtained by sequencing the protease of the HIV present in each patient's sample and determining the sequence changes with respect to the sequence of the NL4-3 (GenBank Accession No. AF324493) HIV. The amino sequence for the NL4-3 protease is provided in SEQ. ID. No. 1 (Figure 3A) and the nucleic acid sequence for the NL4-3 protease gene is provided in SEQ. ID. No. 2 (Figure 3B).

15

20

25

The mutations used in a preliminary round of analysis were the primary amprenavir mutations I54M, I50V, V32I, I54L, 184V (identified by Maguire et al., 2002, Antimicrob Agents Chemother 46:731-738) and I84A. Samples were defined as genotypically resistant ("GT-R") if any of the above mutations (V32I, I50V, I54L or M, or I84A or V) were present. Data were analyzed using univariate analysis (P<0.001 by Fisher's exact test was considered significant) and regression tree (CART) analysis (Statview 5.0 software; SAS, Cary, NC).

The results of this analysis were divided into four groups. Viruses that contain none of the above-identified mutations in their protease and are phenotypically and genotypically sensitive (PT-S, GT-S) to amprenavir were present in 1635, or 37% of the 4414 samples. Viruses that contain at least one of the above-identified mutations and are phenotypically and genotypically resistant (PT-R, GT-R) to amprenavir (APV FC >2.5; Log amprenavir fold change > 0.398) were present in 1698, or 38.5% of the samples. The other two groups correspond to the "exceptions" where a virus was predicted based on genotype (number of mutations) to be susceptible, but was phenotypically (based on Log amprenavir fold change) resistant (PT-R, GT-S) or where a virus was predicted based on genotype to be resistant, but was phenotypically (based on Log amprenavir fold change) susceptible (PT-S, GT-R).

Nearly one quarter of the initial genotypic interpretations were discordant with the observed phenotypic results. 865 samples, corresponding to 19.6% of the samples lacked any of the above-identified mutations, but, contrary to expectations, were found to be phenotypically resistant to amprenavir (PT-R, GT-S). Conversely, some viruses that had one or more of the above-identified mutations did not exhibit any greater resistance to amprenavir than did the WT strain (PT-S, GT-R) (216 samples (4.9%)).

6.3 Example 3: Analysis of the PT-R, GT-S Discordant Group

This example demonstrates that certain mutations and certain combinations of mutations make a greater contribution to amprenavir resistance than others.

The samples in the PT-R, GT-S group of Example 2 correspond to viruses with no known primary mutations in the HIV protease associated with reduced susceptibility to amprenavir (i.e., none of V32I, I50V, I54L or M, or I84A or V). These viruses were phenotypically resistant (had an amprenavir fold change greater than 2.5) but were predicted to be genotypically sensitive (because they had none of the known primary mutations). Since the majority of the discordance for amprenavir is of the PT-R, GT-S type, the development of the algorithm focused on mutations which were associated with this phenotype, and not those

25

30

PCT

that simply appeared along with a known mutation. Therefore, samples with known mutations (GT-R) were removed. This resulted in a total of 2499 remaining samples. 34% of these had APV FC > 2.5 (Figure 4).

CART (Classification and Regression Tree) analysis enabled the identification of the most important variables for defining APV reduced susceptibility. Figure 4 shows the tree 5 generated by the analysis. The analysis resulted in the identification of a list of mutations that contribute to reduced susceptibility to amprenavir: V11I, L, V32I, L33F, E34Q, K43T, 147V, G48M, I50V, I54M, I54S, I54A, I54L, I54T, A71L, L76V, V82F, I84V and T91. Further, the analysis also enabled the identification of some mutations that were not independently associated with reduced susceptibility to amprenavir, but were likely to be 10 associated with reduced susceptibility to amprenavir in combination with other mutations. This list included: L10F, L10I, L24I, E35, M46I, M46L, G48V, F53L, I54V, Q58E, C67, A71V, G73, V82S, V82A, I84A and L90M. All possible pairs of these mutations were tested and Fisher's Exact test performed, as described above. The results are summarized in Table 3 as well as in Figure 5. Figure 5 is a matrix of pairs of mutations associated with resistance 15 to amprenavir. The numbers in each cell are the odds ratio (%R:%S) for that pair of mutations and the numbers in brackets indicate the number of samples with that pair. Only those cells for which the corresponding pair had P < 0.001 (as determined by Fisher's exact test) have numbers in them.

Pairs of the above mutations with strong associations with reduced susceptibility to amprenavir were tested again by CART analysis as shown in Figure 6.

Thus, it is evident that the PT-R, GT-S group can be associated with the presence of mutations that are not independently associated with reduced susceptibility to amprenavir, but contribute to reduced susceptibility to amprenavir in combination with other mutations.

6.4 Example 4: Algorithm and Demonstration of its Accuracy

This example demonstrates the construction of an algorithm that reduces the incidence of PT-R, GT-S results by requiring certain mutations, classes of mutations and combinations of mutations.

As described in Example 3, from a starting data set of 4414 samples, those samples with APV GT-R were removed, resulting in a data set of 2499 samples. The final rules were formulated based on the results observed with both data sets, those with 4414 and 2499 samples (these data were called the "training data"). The accuracy of the devised rules or

15

20

25

30

algorithm was evaluated based on the accuracy with which the susceptibility of the viruses could be determined based solely on the algorithm. When discrepancies were seen between the predictions and the observed results, the algorithm was modified so that it remained consistent with the observed results. The rules devised from the training data were then tested on a "validation data set" of patients. The validation data set was obtained by starting with a data set of 11,768 samples. From this data set, following the steps described in Example 1, all samples with FC < 2 for all protease inhibitors and no drug selected mutation were removed. Next, samples with the same genotype were removed. Finally samples that were present in the training data set were excluded. This resulted in a validation data set of 1634 samples that was used to test the accuracy of the algorithm derived using only the training data set.

Table 4 provides a summary of the rules applied at each round or version of the development of the algorithm and the results obtained for the training data set with 4414 samples and the validation data set with 1634 samples. The first column provides the rules used for each round of testing. The rules are cumulative, *i.e.*, the rules for each round is added to the rules for the round preceding it.

The next four columns provide, in order, the number of samples in the PT-S, GT-S, the PT-R, GT-R, the PT-R, GT-S and the PT-S, GT-R groups. The number in the PT-S, GT-R column excludes the PT-S, GT-R samples associated with mixtures at a primary or at least two secondary positions. Because mixtures were counted as mutants, a sample with say, less than 50% mutant, would be counted as a mutant for genotypic purposes, even though its phenotypic resistance may not be as high as a true mutant. This gives rise to more samples in the PT-S, GT-R group, than expected based on the rules alone.

The next 3 columns of Table 4 provide, in order, the percentage of samples that are in the PT-R, GT-S and the PT-S, GT-R groups and the overall discordance (the sum of the percentages of the PT-R, GT-S and the PT-S, GT-R groups, or 100 - (percentage of the PT-S, GT-S + PT-R, GT-R groups).

The algorithm began with requiring the "starting mutations," which refers to either I50V on its own or, any one or more of V32I, I54L or M, I84A or V plus two secondary mutations. Any of the secondary mutations listed in Table 5 can be used. In the next round, 82F and I84C as well as any one or more of 54A, 54S or 54T were added. From this round on, at least 2 secondary mutations ("2mut" in Table 4) were needed. In the next round the

10

15

20

25

30

combination of 33F and 82A was added. This required that both, 33F and 82A be present in the same virus (or sample). In the last round, the combination of 46I or 46L with any one or more of 47V, 54V, 71L, 76V, or 82A was added.

The overall discordance as well as the percentage of data in the PT-R, GT-S group decreased with each round of the algorithm, indicating that the algorithm improved each time in correctly predicting the mutations and combinations of mutations that led to phenotypic resistance. For the training data set, the total discordance decreased significantly, from 24.5% in the first round to 14.7% in the last round and the number of samples in the PT-R, GT-S group correspondingly decreased from 19.6% to 5.9% of the total samples.

The validation data set had a lower starting total discordance (15.8%) and fewer samples in the PT-R, GT-S group (12.8%) as compared to the training data set. Without being bound by theory, it is believed that this was because the validation data set contained fewer samples with complex viral protease genotypes. The accuracy of the algorithm, developed using samples only form the training data set, to correctly predict the susceptibility of any virus to amprenavir is demonstrated by its performance on the validation data set. The application of the final algorithm, *i.e.*, the rules in the last row of the training data set in Table 4 (denoted as "final algorithm" in the validation data set section) reduced the total discordance as well as the percentage of data in the PT-R, GT-S group significantly, from 15.8% to 10.3% and from 12.8% to 4.4%, respectively.

6.5 Example 5: Effect of the N88S Mutation

This example demonstrates that N88S can re-sensitize viruses containing mutations that are strongly associated with reduced susceptibility to amprenavir.

N88S is a protease inhibitor resistance mutation and is selected *in vitro* by atazanavir (Gong *et al.*, 2000, *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 44:2319-26). It is also seen following treatment with indinavir (Condra *et al.*, 1996, *J. Virol.* 70:8270-8276). As can be seen from Tables 1 and 2, the HIV protease mutation N88S is correlated with an increased susceptibility of a virus in which it is present to amprenavir. I50V, on the other hand, has the opposite effect and is correlated with reduced susceptibility. A sample from an HIV-infected patient was analyzed genotypically and phenotypically. The sample was found to contain L10I, I13V, E35D, M36I, R41K, I50V, L63P, A71V, N88N/S (*i.e.*, a mixture of N and S at position 88), and L90M mutations in HIV protease. Phenotypically, the following fold changes in

15

20

25

30

IC₅₀ to different protease inhibitors were seen: amprenavir: 4.1; indinavir: 1.4; lopinavir: 3.3; nelfinavir: 5.3; ritonavir: 11; and saquinavir: 3.6.

Clones from the population were selected so as to isolate some clones with the N88S mutation and some without it. Resistance test vector pools constructed from the original 5 plasma sample (Petropoulos et al., 2000, Antimicrob Agents Chemother 44:920-8) were transformed into E. Coli, and plasmid DNA from individual colonies was screened in the PhenoSense® assay for protease genotype. A total of 21 clones were isolated, 3 with only 150V, 15 with 150V and L90M, and 3 with 150V and N88S, in addition to the other mutations present in the pool. Figure 7 summarizes the phenotypic susceptibility to the different protease inhibitors as mean fold changes (FC) for each group of clones. Clones with I50V only, or I50V plus L90M, displayed marked reductions in susceptibility to amprenavir (13-17 FC), lopinavir (7-9 FC), nelfinavir (7-10 FC), and ritonavir (12-20 FC). The effect of L90M was small in each case, except for saquinavir (1.8-fold increase in FC with L90M). However, when N88S was present in combination with I50V, amprenavir susceptibility was increased by a factor of 14.5. As seen in Figure 7, the fold change for a virus containing 150V, but not N88S (bar 1 for APV) is about 17-fold. However, the fold change dropped to wild type level (1.2 FC) when the virus contained N88S in addition to I50V (bar 2 for APV). The levels of lopinavir and ritonavir susceptibility were also increased (by a 1.7-fold and a 1.4-fold decrease in IC₅₀, respectively) in the presence of N88S. Conversely, susceptibility to nelfinavir and atazanavir decreased in the presence of N88S, as is seen by a 2.1-fold and a 2.3-fold increase, respectively, in IC₅₀.

These results demonstrate that N88S can completely re-sensitize HIV-1 containing the 150 mutation to amprenavir. Thus, if N88S can be maintained, future treatment options for patients who harbor I50V-containing virus may include amprenavir, perhaps in combination with ritonavir. As seen in the Figure 7, N88S also lowered the level of resistance to lopinavir imparted by ISOV. The congruence of directionality in the effect of N88S on amprenavir and lopinavir is consistent with observations regarding cross-resistance between these two PIs.

All references cited herein are incorporated by reference in their entireties.

The examples provided herein, both actual and prophetic, are merely embodiments of the present invention and are not intended to limit the invention in any way.

TABLE 1

Mutations Associated with Resistance to Amprenavir

Mutation	PT-S, mt	PT-S, wt	PT-R, mt	PT-R, wt	P value	%mt S	%mt R	%R/%S
G48M	1	1849	34	2530	<0.0001	0.0	1.3	24.5
I54S	2	1848	63	2501	<0.0001	0.1	2.5	22.7
150V	9	1841	187	2377	<0.0001	0.5	7.3	15.0
191ASV**	5	1845	102	2462	<0.0001	0.3	4.0	14.7
147V	20	1830	327	2237	<0.0001	1.1	12.8	11.8
V11IL**	18	1832	269	2295	<0.0001	1.0	10.5	10.8
V32I	28	1822	358	2206	<0.0001	1.5	14.0	9.2
E34Q	15	1835	157	2407	<0.0001	0.8	6.1	7.6
L33F	81	1769	819	1745	<0.0001	4.4	31.9	7.3
A71L	4	1846	38	2526	<0.0001	0.2	1.5	6.8
L76V	14	1836	132	2432	<0.0001	0.8	5.2	6.8
154A	9	1841	78	2486	<0.0001	0.5	3.0	6.2
154L	32	1818	266	2298	<0.0001	1.7	10.4	6.0
K43T	46	1804	365.	2199	<0.0001	2.5	14.2	5.7
184V	167	1683	1122	1442	<0.0001	9.0	43.8	4.9
154T	11	1839	72	2492	<0.0001	0.6	2.8	4.7
V82F	17	1833	102	2462	<0.0001	0.9	4.0	4.3
C95F	27	1823	141	2423	<0.0001	1.5	5.5	3.8
P79**	19	1831	96	2468	<0.0001	1.0	3. 7	3.6
N83D	11	1839	54	2510	<0.0001	0.6	2.1	3.5
Q58E	82	1768	385	2179	<0.0001	4.4	15.0	3.4
I84A	2	1848	9	2555	0.1343	0.1	0.4	3.2
184C	1	1849	8	2556	0.0891	0.05	0.31	5.8
E65D*	41	1809	19	2545	<0.0001	2.2	0.7	0.3
D30N*	357	1493	67	2497	<0.0001	19.3	2.6	0.1
N88S*	91	1759	4	2560	<0.0001	4.9	0.2	- 0.03

^{5 *} Associated with increased susceptibility (sensitivity) to amprenavir.

Number of samples = 4414.

^{**} All variants treated equally.

[%]R: Percent of samples with mutation compared to all PT-R, GT-S samples.

[%]S: Percent of samples with mutation compared to all PT-S, GT-S samples.

TABLE 2

Mutations Analyzed to Determine Resistance or Sensitivity to Amprenavir

Mutation	Autation PT-S, mt	PT-S, wt	PT-R, mt	PT-R, wt	P value	%mt S	%mt R	%R/%S	p<0.001	ratio>3	ratio<0.3
154M	8	1	233	2331	<0.0001	0.3	9.1	33.6	-	-	0
748M		1849	×	2530	<0.0001	0.0	1.3	24.5		,	0
1548	- 2	1848	છ	2501	<0.0001	0.1	2.5	22.7	-		0
150V	0	1841	187	2377	<0.0001	0.5	7.3	15.0	_	-	0
TOTASV	. . .	1845	102	2462	<0.0001	0.3	4.0	14.7	,	-	0
1477	2	1830	327	2237	<0.0001	1.1	12.8	11.8	-	1	o
VIII	82	1832	569	2295	<0.0001	0.1	10.5	10.8	-	-	0
V32I	78	1822	358	2206	<0.0001	1.5	14.0	9.5	-	-	0
F340	15	1835	157	2407	<0.0001	0.8	6.1	9.7	-	-	0
1.33F	8	1769	819	1745	<0.0001	4.4	31.9	7.3	-		0
A71L	4	1846	38	2526	<0.0001	0.2	1.5	6.8	-		Ö
1,76V	14	1836	132	2432	<0.0001	8.0	5.2	6.8	_	-	0
154A	6	1841	78	2486	<0.0001	0.5	3.0	6.2	-		0
154L	32	1818	266	2298	<0.0001	1.7	10.4	0.9	-	-	0
K43T	4	1804	365	2199	<0.0001	2.5	14.2	5.7	-	-	0
1840	167	1683	1122	1442	<0.0001	9.0	43.8	4.9	_		0
154T	11	1839	72	2492	<0.0001	9.0	2.8	4.7		-	0
VR2F	11	1833	102	2462	<0.0001	6.0	4.0	4.3		_	0
C95F	27	1823	141	2423	<0.0001	1.5	5.5	3.0	,	-	0
X6/d	161	1831	8	2468	<0.0001	1.0	3.7	3.6	_		0
N83D	=======================================	1839	54	2510	<0.0001	9.0	2.1	3.5		-	0
Q58E	83	1768	385	2179	<0.0001	4.4	15.0	3.4	,		0

IBAA 2 1848 L89 90 1760 F53 84 1766 I54 456 1394 F53L 80 1770 G73 214 1636 K55 98 1752 A22 12 1838 L24 84 1764 G48 86 1764 G48V 76 1774 Q92 68 1774 Q92 68 1774 Q92 68 1774 Q92 68 1774 Q93 68 1774 Q94 68 1774 Q95 68 1774 U10F 159 1691 V82S 20 1830 I54V 410 1440 C67 62 1748 M46I 605 1245 K20R 204 1646 K20R 204 1646 K20R 1646 K39 1411	PT-S, wt PT	T-R, mt	PT-R, wt	P value	%mt S	%mt R	%R/%S	p<0.001	ratio>3	ratio<0.3
20 214 214 20 20 20 20 410 410 418 418	1848	6	2555	0.1343	0.1	0.4	3.2	0	1	0
456 456 456 456 410 410 410 439 439 439 439 439 439 439 439	1760	379	2185	<0.0001	4.9	14.8	3.0	1	0	0
456 80 214 86 87 86 87 86 87 86 87 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86	1766	348	2216	<0.0001	4.5	13.6	3.0	1	0	0
20 214 28 28 38 38 410 410 410 62 62 63 605 605	1394	1857	707	<0.0001	24.7	72.4	2.9		0	0
214 98 112 86 86 68 68 60 410 605 605 605 605	1770	316	2248	<0.0001	4.3	12.3	2.8		0	0
98 112 86 86 76 88 76 79 159 105 105 105 105 105 105 105 105 105 105	1636	834	1730	<0.0001	11.6	32.5	2.8		0	0
12 86 86 76 68 60 79 10 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60	1752	378	2186	<0.0001	5.3	14.7	2.8		0	0
86 86 76 68 159 105 105 105 184 184	1838	94	2518	0.0007	9.0	1.8	2.8	,	0	0
86 76 68 68 79 159 62 105 439 605 418	1766	317	2247	<0.0001	4.5	12.4	2.7	-	0	0
58 76 68 159 20 410 605 605 439 439 418	1764	312	2252	<0.0001	4.6	12.2	5.6	-	0	0
68 68 159 20 410 105 439 605 605 418	1792	206	2358	<0.0001	3.1	8.0	5.6		0	0
68 159 20 410 62 1 105 439 605 418	1774	268	2296	<0.0001	4.1	10.5	2.5		0	0
159 20 410 62 105 439 605 605 418	1782	238	2326	<0.0001	3.7	9.3	2.5		0	0
159 20 410 62 105 439 605 605 418	1771	273	2291	<0.0001	4.3	10.6	2.5	_	0	0
20 410 62 1 105 439 605 418 418	1691	546	2018	<0.0001	9.6	21.3	2.5		0	0
410 62 1 105 439 605 184 418	1830	29	2497	0.0003	1.1	5.6	2.4		0	0
62 1 105 439 605 204 184	1440	1249	1315	<0.0001	22.2	48.7	2.2	-	0	0
1 105 439 605 204 184	1788	187	2377	<0.0001	3.4	7.3	2.2		0	0
105 439 605 204 184	1849	m	2561	0.6442	0.0	0.1	2.2	0	0	0
439 605 204 184 418	1745	309	2255	<0.0001	5.7	12.0	2.1	-	0	0
605 204 184 418	1411	1288	1276	<0.0001	23.7	50.2	2.1	-	0	0
204 184 418	1245	1758	908	<0.0001	32.7	9.89	2.1	,	0	0
184	1646	583	1981	<0.0001	11.0	22.7	2.1	-	0	0
418	1666	518	2046	<0.0001	10.0	20.2	2.0		0	0
	1432	1168	1396	<0.0001	22.6	45.6	2.0		0	0
586	1264	1562	1002	<0.0001	31.7	6.09	1.9	,	0	0

Mutation	PT-S, mt	PT-S, wt	PT-R, mt	PT-R, wt	P value	%mt S	%mt R	%R/%S	p<0.001	ratio>3	ratio<0.3
1101	069	. 1160	1778	786	<0.0001	37.3	69.3	1.9	-	0	0
\$ 6 6	4	1804	117	2447	0.0003	2.5	4.6	1.8 %.	_	0	0
A71V	809	1242	1535	1029	<0.0001	32.9	59.9	1.8	-	0	0
M36I.	4	1809	6	2467	0.0037	2.2	3.8	1.7	0	0	0
1.10	1011	839	2379	185	<0.0001	54.6	97.8	1.7		0	0
123	77	1826	26	2508	0.0300	1.3	2.2	1.7	0	0	0
A711	<u> </u>	1746	234	2330	<0.0001	9.6	9.1	1.6	-	0	0
K20	587	1263	1267	1297	<0.0001	31.7	49.4	1.6	-	0	0
22	387	1468	813	1751	<0.0001	20.7	31.7	1.5		0	0
130	843	1001	1803	191	<0.0001	45.6	70.3	1.5		0	0
T-90M	843	1001	1803	191	<0.0001	45.6	70.3	1.5	-	0	0
K201	186	1664	369	2195	<0.0001	10.0	14.4	1.4		0	0
915	101	1749	197	2367	0.0035	5.5	7.7	1.4	0	0	0
A71	987	863	1921	643	<0.0001	53.4	74.9	1.4		0	0
162	787	1063	1435	1129	<0.0001	42.5	26.0	1.3	,	0	0
090	229	1621	412	2152	9000:0	12.4	16.1	1.3	-	0	0
M36I	687	1163	1221	1343	<0.0001	37.1	47.6	1.3		0	0
115	386	1464	029	1894	<0.0001	20.9	26.1	1.2	-	0	0
M36	772	1078	1342	1222	<0.0001	41.7	52.3	1.2	_	0	0
T4	1518	332	2537	27	<0.0001	82.0	99.0	1.2		0	
F19	569	1581	44	2117	0.0103	14.5	17.4	1.2	0	0	o
113	540	1310	889	1675	0.0001	29.2	34.7	1.2	-	0	o ·
H69	188	1662	308	2256	0.0296	10.2	12.0	1.2	0	0	Φ (
L63P	1388	462	2241	323	<0.0001	75.0	87.4	1.2		0	ɔ (
E35	9/9	1174	1065	1499	0.0008	36.5	41.5	1.1	—	0	o (
Q61	142	1708	219	2345	0.3166	7.7	8.5	1.1	0	•	0

	Mutation	PT-S, mt	PT-S, wt	PT-R, mt	PT-R, wt	P value	%mt S	%mt R	%R/%S	p<0.001	ratio>3	ratio<0.3
12 1838 18 173 1677 259 829 1021 1226 119 1731 175 193 1657 268 263 1587 341 263 1587 341 263 1587 341 263 1587 341 264 1757 114 128 1757 114 132 1757 114 132 1718 146 205 1645 204 45 1805 42 67 1783 55 26 1824 20 384 1466 300 89 1761 58 120 1730 70 21 1829 11 92 1758 46 114 1736 56 41 1809 19 389 1461 102	N37	069	1	1063	1501	0.0055	37.3	41.5	1:1	0	0	0
173 1677 259 829 1021 1226 119 1731 175 193 1657 268 263 1587 341 526 1324 686 212 1638 265 93 1757 114 128 1757 114 132 1775 146 775 1075 786 205 1350 568 132 1718 146 45 1805 42 67 1783 55 26 1824 20 384 1466 300 89 1761 58 120 1730 70 21 1829 11 92 1758 46 114 1736 56 41 1809 19 389 1461 102	M46V	12	1838	81	2546	1.0000	9.0	0.7	1.1	0	0	0
829 1021 1226 119 1731 175 193 1657 268 263 1587 341 526 1324 686 212 1638 265 93 1757 114 128 1722 153 500 1350 568 132 1718 146 775 1075 786 205 1645 204 45 1805 42 67 1783 55 26 1824 20 384 1466 300 89 1761 58 120 1730 70 21 1829 11 92 1758 46 114 1736 56 41 1809 19	T74S	173	1677	259	2305	0.4121	9.4	10.1	1.1	0	0	0
119 1731 175 193 1657 268 263 1587 341 526 1324 686 212 1638 265 93 1757 114 128 1757 114 132 1757 114 132 1718 146 775 1075 786 205 1645 204 45 1805 42 67 1783 55 26 1824 20 384 1466 300 89 1761 58 120 1730 70 21 1829 11 92 1758 46 114 1736 56 41 1809 19 389 1461 102	193	829	1021	1226	1338	0.0504	44.8	47.8	1.1	0	0	0
193 1657 268 263 1587 341 526 1324 686 212 1638 265 93 1757 114 128 1722 153 500 1350 568 132 1718 146 775 1075 786 205 1645 204 45 1805 42 67 1783 55 26 1824 20 384 1466 300 89 1761 58 120 1730 70 21 1829 11 92 1758 46 114 1736 56 41 1809 19 389 1461 102	K20M	119	1731	175	2389	0.6249	6.4	8.9	1:1	0	0	0
263 1587 341 526 1324 686 212 1638 265 93 1757 114 128 1722 153 500 1350 568 132 1718 146 775 1075 786 205 1645 204 45 1805 42 67 1783 55 26 1824 20 384 1466 300 89 1761 58 120 1730 70 21 1829 11 92 1758 46 114 1736 56 41 1809 19	1.10V	193	1657	268	2296	1.0000	10.4	10.5	1.0	0	0	•
526 1324 686 212 1638 265 93 1757 114 128 1757 114 128 1722 153 500 1350 568 132 1718 146 775 1075 786 205 1645 204 45 1805 42 67 1783 55 26 1824 20 384 1466 300 89 1761 58 120 1730 70 21 1829 11 92 1758 46 114 1736 56 41 1809 19 389 1461 102	R57	263	1587	341	2223	0.3991	14.2	13.3	6.0	0	0	0
212 1638 265 93 1757 114 128 1722 153 500 1350 568 132 1718 146 775 1075 786 205 1645 204 45 1805 42 67 1783 55 26 1824 20 384 1466 300 89 1761 58 120 1730 70 21 1829 11 92 1758 46 114 1736 56 41 1809 19 389 1461 102	R41	526	1324	989	. 1878	0.2188	28.4	26.8	6.0	0	0	0
93 1757 114 128 1722 153 500 1350 568 132 1718 146 775 1075 786 205 1645 204 45 1805 42 67 1783 55 26 1824 20 384 1466 300 89 1761 58 120 1730 70 21 1829 11 92 1758 46 114 1736 56 41 1809 19 389 1461 102	T12	212	1638	265	2299	0.2387	11.5	10.3	0.9	0	0	0
128 1722 153 500 1350 568 132 1718 146 775 1075 786 205 1645 204 45 1805 42 67 1783 55 26 1824 20 384 1466 300 89 1761 58 120 1730 70 21 1829 11 92 1758 46 114 1736 56 41 1809 19 389 1461 102	K70	93	1757	114	2450	0.3869	5.0	4.4	6.0	0	0	0
500 1350 568 132 1718 146 775 1075 786 205 1645 204 45 1805 42 67 1783 55 26 1824 20 384 1466 300 89 1761 58 120 1730 70 21 1829 11 92 1758 46 114 1736 56 41 1809 19 389 1461 102	K20T	128	1722	153	2411	0.2116	6.9	0.9	6.0	0	0	0
132 1718 146 775 1075 786 205 1645 204 45 1805 42 67 1783 55 26 1824 20 384 1466 300 89 1761 58 120 1730 70 21 1829 11 92 1758 46 114 1736 56 41 1809 19 389 1461 102	<u>7</u>	200	1350	268	1996	0.0002	27.0	22.2	0.8		0	0
775 1075 786 205 1645 204 45 1805 42 67 1783 55 26 1824 20 384 1466 300 89 1761 58 120 1730 70 21 1829 11 92 1758 46 114 1736 56 41 1809 19 389 1461 102	V82T	132	1718	146	2418	0.0594	7.1	5.7	0 .8	0	0	0
205 1645 204 45 1805 42 67 1783 55 26 1824 20 384 1466 300 89 1761 58 120 1730 70 21 1829 11 92 1758 46 114 1736 56 41 1809 19	7.77	775	1075	786	1778	<0.0001	41.9	30.7	0.7	,	0	0
45 1805 42 67 1783 55 26 1824 20 384 1466 300 89 1761 58 120 1730 70 21 1829 11 92 1758 46 114 1736 56 41 1809 19 389 1461 102	K14	205	1645	204	2360	0.0005	11.1	8.0	0.7	,	0	0
67 1783 55 26 1824 20 384 1466 300 89 1761 58 120 1730 70 21 1829 11 92 1758 46 114 1736 56 41 1809 19 389 1461 102	0697	45	1805	42	2522	0.0629	2.4	1.6	0.7	0	0	0
26 1824 20 384 1466 300 89 1761 58 120 1730 70 21 1829 11 92 1758 46 114 1736 56 41 1809 19	P39	29	1783	55	2509	0.0038	3.6	2.2	9.0	0	0	0
384 1466 300 89 1761 58 120 1730 70 21 1829 11 92 1758 46 114 1736 56 41 1809 19	763	56	1824	20	2544	0.0506	1.4	8.0	9.0	0	0	0
89 1761 58 120 1730 70 21 1829 11 92 1758 46 114 1736 56 41 1809 19 389 1461 102	A71T	384	1466	300	2264	<0.0001	20.8	11.7	9.0	_	0	•
120 1730 70 21 1829 11 92 1758 46 114 1736 56 41 1809 19 389 1461 102	TE97	8	1761	28	2506	<0.0001	4.8	2.3	0.5	-	0	0
21 1829 11 92 1758 46 114 1736 56 41 1809 19 389 1461 102	K45	120	1730	2	2494	<0.0001	6.5	2.7	4 .0	 -	0	0
92 1758 46 114 1736 56 41 1809 19 389 1461 102	LIOR	21	1829	11	2553	0.0106	1.1	0.4	4.0	0	0	0
114 1736 56 41 1809 19 389 1461 102	SE97	8	1758	49	2518	<0.0001	2.0	1.8	9 .0	-	0	0
41 1809 19 389 1461 102	L63A	114	1736	26	2508	<0.0001	6.2	2.2	0.4	-	0	0
389 1461 102	E65D	4	1809	61	2545	<0.0001	2.2	0.7	0.3		0	
	88N	389	1461	102	2462	<0.0001	21.0	4.0	0.2		0	

<0.001 ratio>3 ratio<0.3	1 0 1	1 0		
%R/%S p<0.001	0.1	200	20:0	
%mt S %mt R	2.6	(7.0	
%mt S	19.3		4.9	
P value	2497 <0.0001		<0.0001	
PT-R, wt P value	7407		2560	
-R, mt	67	5	4	
nt PT-S, wt PI	1402	1490	1759	
PT-S, mt	250	32/	2	
Autation	, , ,	COC	S88N	

The last 3 columns (P < 0.001, ratio > 3, ratio < 0.3) contain either a "1" if the condition at the top of the column (e.g., P < 0.001) is true and a "0" if the condition is false.

TABLE

ANALYSIS OF COMBINATIONS OF PAIRS OF MUTATIONS

Mutation Pair	PS, mt	PS, wt	PR, mt	PR, wt	P value	%mt S	%mt R	%R/%S	P<0.001	Ratio>3	Ratio<0.3
1 222 1427	٥	1634	7	858	0.0006	0.0%	0.8%	-N/A-	-	-	0
1 225 1640	>	1634	, <u>4</u>	849	40.0001	%0.0	1.8%	-N/A-		_	•
L35F-1343	> <		2 2	653	0000	%	1.5%	-N/A-		_	0
L33F-V82F	>	4501	25	270 170		% 6 6 6	%	-A/N-	-	-	0
L33F-V11	9	1034	\$;	Į (7		200	V/V	-	ı 	c
M461-154S	0	1634	17	848 8	40.000 40.000	850	6.0%	-1//-	→ •	- ۱	•
MAKI_ISAT	c	1634	18	847	<0.0001	% 0.0	2.1%	-V/A-	→ ·	-4 1	> 0
14461-A711	• =	1634	10	855	<0.0001	%0:0 0:0%	1.2%	-V/A-	_	,	o (
M-401-7/17	•	1634	2	855	<0.0001	%0:0	1.2%	-N/A-	, -	_	•
M401-91	•	1634	c	857	0.0002	%0.0	0.9%	-N/A-	,	-	0
M40L-154A	>	1634	0	856	<0.0001	%0.0	1.0%	-N/A-	-		0
M40L-1545	-	1634	۰ oc	857	0.0002	%0.0	%6.0	-N/A-	-	,	0
14/V-V11 14711 1742F	•	1634	, 1	92	0.0006	%0:0	%8. <u>0</u>	-N/A-	,	_	0
14/V-N+31	> <	1634	ع .	849	<0.0001	%0.0	1.8%	-N/A-	-	—	0
14/V-F33L	> <	1631	2 -	848	9000	%0.0	%	-\/\ -\/\	,	_	c
147V-()38E	><	1034	1,	84 84 85	<0.0001	%0:0	2.0%	-N/A-	,		0
G46M-134V	•	1634	12	853	<0.0001	%0.0	1.4%	-N/A-		_	0
	> <	1631	1 2	849	0000	%0.0	1.8%	-N/A-			0
G48M-L9UM	> <	1634	2 2	853	0000	%0.0	1.4%	-N/A-	-	_	0
G48M-35	-	107	70	257	0000	% 0 0	%0	-WA-	_	-	0
G48V-E34Q)	1034	٠;	120	7.00.6		1 3%	-A/N-		· 	0
154A-K43T	0	1634	Ξ,	400	7000	800	200	-14/14 	-	•	
154S-A71L	0	1634	7	80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 8	0.000	% 5.6 6.6	. o. o.	-14/A-		-	•
IS4S-L90M	0	1634	91	849	40.0001	0.0	1.8%	-N/A-	-	F	•
154S-M46I	0	1634	17	848 848	<0.0001	0.0	7.0%	-K/A-	→ -	- 4 •	> <
1548-35	c	1634	18	847	<0.0001 10001	%0.0 0.0%	2.1%	-Y-Y	- 4 ,	1	> 0
16AT A71	· c	1634	7	828	0.0006	%0:0	%8.0 .8%	-N/A-	_	—	o (
1741-14CI	•	1634	<u>~</u>	£847	<0.0001	%0.0	2.1%	-N/A-		-	0
10+IAI-1+CT	•	1634	¢	857	0.0002	%0.0	%6.0	-N/A-	_	, -	0
A/11~A/17	•	1634	,2	855	0000	%0.0	1.2%	-K/A-	-	_	0
A/11-M401	, c	1634	<u>: ::</u>	852	<0.0001	%0:0	1.5%	-N/A-		,- -	0
A/1V-91	> <	1634	9	849	<0.0001	%0.0	1.8%	-N/A-	, -	_	0
V62F-U38E	>	-	?	<u> </u>	;						

	W	O 2	004	1/0	03	51	4	- (•																	(7		P	. 1	US	20	W.J	/02	130	13
Dottor 3	Name of the second	0	-	> 0	> (0	0	0	-	•	> C	> 0	> <	> <	> 0	•	> <	> <	> 0	> 0	> 0	>	0	0	0	0	0	0		•	•	> 0	> <	-	> 0	0	>	
Dette 1	CAMEN	 .	→ ,	→ ,	_	,1	_	-	-	-		٠,	•	-	→.	⊸ •	-4 •	→ •	→ •	,			,	—		_	1	· 		- -	→ •	⊶ ,	⊣ •	•		,	-	
1000	F<0.001	-	-		-		-	-	-	→ -	 ,	⊣,	→,	-	⊶ •	⊶ ,	,	 ,	 4	,	_	,	-	_	_	1	· 	•		٠,	→ ,	-	-	,	_	-		
	%R/%S	-N/A-	-N/A-	-N/A-	-N/A-	-W/A-	-A/X-	V/N	-4/1-	-K/K-	79.3	71.8	58.6	46.3	45.3	41.6	36.8	35.9	35.9	35.9	34.0	34.0	33.0	32.1	32.1	30.2	30.5	9.00	6.7.5 5.00 5.00 5.00	C.87	28.3	26.4	26.4	26.4	25.8	25.5	24.6	
	%mt R	%6.0	%6.0	1.0%	2.1%	%80	200	200	20.1	1.2%	4.9%	4.4%	3.6%	5.7%	2.8%	2.5%	4.5%	4.4%	2.5%	2.2%	2.1%	2.1%	4.0%	2.0%	3 %	7.4%	1 00%	1.0/0	2.0%	1.7%	1.7%	1.6%	3.2%	1.6%	4.7%	3.1%	%0 .9	
	%mt S	%0.0	%0.0	%0.0	%00	%°		200	% 5.0 .0	%0.0	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0 1%	%10	0 1%	31.0	250	2,4,5	\$1.0 \$1.0	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%	
	P value	0.0002	0.0002	<0.000	1000		35	70007	6 0.0001	& .000 .000	△.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	1000	40.000 1000	0000		7000	70001	<0.000 0.0000 0.00	<0.0001	<u>6</u> .000.0	40.0001	<0.000	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 100	<0.0001	
	PR, wt	857	857	856	247	040	020	820	826	855	823	827	834	816	2	843	826	827	846	4	847	22	030	979	5	100	801	849	834	850	850	851		851	824	838	813	
	PR, mt	œ) od	9	, <u>•</u>	9 6	~ (S)	6	10	4	i œ	3.5	₹	24	3	12	×	3 2	7 0	7 5	9 9	010	, ,	7	4 ;	Z	16	31	15	15	1	28	4	: =	77	22	1
	PS, WI	1634	1691	1631	100	1034	1634	1634	1634	1634	1631	1633	1623	651	1632	1632	1633	1632	1632	1033	1633	5601	1033	1032	1033	1632	1630	1633	1632	1633	1633	1633	1623	1633	103	1031	1630	
	PS. mt		> 0	> <	>	o	0	0	c	• =	> -	-4 +	→ •	٦ ,	4 -		, د	7 (7 -	→ -	→ .		, 1 (7		7	4		2	- ۱	-	-4 - -	٦ ,	7 -	(n c	7 <	r
	Mestation Dair	IN I HORBINIA	V82F-L76V	V82S-U38E	V11-K43T	V11-F53L	V11-058E	79-117	VATE I 76V	1017-15-W	M401-91	V82A-V11	154V-V11	L10I-L/0V	L33F-K431	154A-L90M	L101-C48M	154S-V8ZA	L101-1545	M461-147V	I47V-M461	G48M-V82A	IS4T-L90M	G48V-154S	147V-L90M	154S-A71V	1.33F-G73	MAST - ISAT	1 757 35	7.104-33	L101-A/1L	G48M-F33L	L33F-1541	G48V-K431	T30M-91	A71V-V82F	L90M-L76V	L33F-F33L

	U Z	UU	+/0	UJ.	314	•	1		,																•									
Ratio<0.3	0	0	>	0	~	0	0	0	0	0	Φ.	0	o (0	0	> (> (0	0	~	•	0	0	>	9	0	> 0	> 0	-	-	•	0	•	>
Ratio>3	-		,	, ,		_		_	_		-			_	,	 ,	p=4 (,	 ,	⊶,	,	•	, 	, .	 - ,	⊶,	- 1	→,	 ,	~	н,	۰,	→ -	-
P<0.001				,		—	-	_			-	, . .	_		,	, .	,	,	, , ,	,	,	,4 1	, m	,	-	,— ,	,,, ,	,	,	-	,	-		
%R/%S	24.6	24.6	23.6	23.6	23.3	22.7	22.7	22.7	22.7	22.7	22.7	20.8	20.8	20.8	20.8	20.8	20.8	20.3	20.3	20.1	18.9	18.9	18.9	18.9	17.9	17.9	. 17.9	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	0./1
%mt R	1.5%	4.5%	2.9%	2.9%	4.3%	1.4%	4.2%	1.4%	1.4%	2.8%	2.8%	1.3%	8.9%	1.3%	2.5%	2.5%	1.3%	5.0%	2.0%	3.7%	1.2%	1.2%	5.8%	5.8%	2.2%	%9.9 %9.9	2.2%	1.0%	1.0%	2.1%	18.7%	5.2%	6.2%	3.1%
%mt S	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.4%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.4%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	1.1%	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
P value	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.000 1	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.0002	0.0002	<0.0001	<0.0001	~0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.0005	0.0005	<0.0001 <0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
PR, wt	852	826	840	840	828	853	829	853	853	2	841	854	788	854	843	843	854	822	822	833	855	855	815	815	846 846	808	846	826	826	× 847	703	820	811	838
PR, mt	13	36	25	25	37	12	36	12	12	24	7	11	11	11	22	22	11	43	43	32	01	10	20	20	19	57	19	Q	σ	18	162	45	54	27
PS, wt	1633	1631	1632	1632	1631	1633	1631	1633	1633	1632	1632	1633	1627	1633	1632	1632	1633	1630	1630	1631	1633	1633	1629	1629	1632	1628	1632	1633	1633	1632	1616	1629	1628	1631
PS, mt	-	• (*)	2	12	l (r)	·	. (*	, –	-	• 0	10	ı 	-		. 7	7	-	4	4	· M	, —	-	v	· v n	7	ø	7	_		2	18	'n	9	m
Mutation Pair	M461_V11	1.33F-I.24I	MAGI-GARV	G48V-M46I	OS8E-G73	1 31R-1 76V	ISAT-A7IV	A711 1/67 A	7/11-V02A V27E-F42I	V11-G73	1 241-673	1.10F-67	1.33F-M461	1.33F-154A	V82A-91	1.241-058E	O58P-1.76V	M461-VR2F	V82F-M46I	154V-L.76V	L10F-V82S	154S-154T	1.33F-M46I	1.33F-M46I	M461-V11	V82F-L90M	V11-M46I	L.10F-L.76V	1.33F-91	147V-154V	L33F-V82A	M461-K43T	154V-V82F	V11-35

Minterion Poir	PS, Est	PS, wt	PR, mt	PR, wt	P value	%mt S	%mt R	%R%S	X0.001	Ratio>3	Ratio<0.3
Water and American	.	000	3.6	020	1000	%00	4 0%	16.5	-		0
L33F-G48V	4	1630	C	000		26	200	16.4	_	_	0
A71V-L76V	m	1631	8	839	70.001	27.0	2 6	1 7 1	- ۱		c
147V-V82A	7	1632	17	848	40.000 1	0.1%	%0.7	10.1	٠,	٦,	.
101-01	6	1632	91	849	<u> </u>	0.1%	1.8%	12.1	٠ ,	→ •	> <
1 22E A 71V	, 2	1618	120	745	<0.0001	1.0%	13.9%	14.2	-	1	> 0
177-JCT	2,	1632	15	850	<0.0001	0.1%	1.7%	14.2		—	>
14/V-A/1V	4 -	1630	3	835	0000	0.2%	3.5%	14.2		_	0
K431-F33L	† (200) (050	0000	%10	1.7%	14.2	, 1	_	0
F53L-Q58E	7;	7601	3 5	56		1 2%	16.4%	14.1	, 4	_	0
L10I-L33F	61	CIO	747	30	10000	2,67	7 30%	140	-	,	0
L10I-V11	'	1629	37	878	V.0001	0.5%	200		•	•	C
154V-01	ŧ٩	1631	23	84 3	Ø.0001	0.7%	7.5%	y.C.	- 4 -	-	•
VOIC VAL	, c	1632	14	851	₹0.0001	0.1%	1.6%	13.2	⊶ ,	- .	> <
1 C4V-070 A	• 4	1628	4	823	40.0001	0.4%	4.9%	13.2	-		.
LOSE-COOR	,	3631	.	823	<0.0001	0.4%	4.9%	13.2	-	_)
L90M-V11	-	1020	740	312	000 O	1 3%	17.2%	12.8	-		0
L33F-154V	3	7101	<u>}</u>	828	0000	0.4%	4.6%	12.6	~	-	0
A71V-V11	; ه	1070	P V	96	0000	%90	7.6%	12.5			0
L10I-V82F	2	1074	88	666	0000	78.0	70%	12.1	_	_	0
IS4A-V82A	ς,	1629	32	833	7000	0.5%	200	2	. –		0
1.24I-F53L	S	1629	32	833	000.0 0.0001	0.5%	5.7%	1.7.1	4 -	-	· C
MAGI -GARV	œ	1626	20	815	0 .000]	0.5%	2.8%	2.1.3	⊶ ,	- -	•
MAGI - OSBE	o oc	1626	20	815	<0.0001	0.5%	2.8%	11.8	 •	-	> <
ANC LINAN	,	1627	43	822	<0.0001	0.4%	2.0%	11.6	→ •	⊶ -	> <
10401-T/OA	. r	1627	43	822	<0.0001	0.4%	5.0%	11.6		→ ,	-
M40I-L/0V	۰ ر	1537	: 2	853	0.0001	0.1%	1.4%	11.3	_		>
M40I-I34A	4 (1637	15	853	0.0001	0.1%	1.4%	11.3	,4		9
M40L-V82r	9 (7007	15	653	1000	0 1%	1.4%	11.3		_	0
154A-M461	7	7501	7 .	000	350	200	2.1%	113			0
V82S-L24I	m	1631	2	/ 4 0	7	2,7,0	707.5	::		_	C
1.10F-G73	S	1629	53	830	<0.0001	0.5%	\$ i	0.00		4	· c
1.10I-147V	4	1630	23	842	<0.0001	0.2%	%/.7	10.5 V. 0.5	⊶ -		-
V82 A-1.76V	4	1630	23	, 847	Q.0001	0.7%	27.7	10.7	→ -		> C
K43T-G73	· (r)	1631	17	848	<0.0001	0.7%	2.0%	7.01	٠ ٠	→	•
1.33F_V82S	7	1632	=	854	0.0003	0.1%	1.3%	10.4	-	- -	> <
1477-35	7	1632	11	854	0.0003	0.1%	1.3%	4.01	-		> <
G48V-154A	7	1632	=	854	0.0003	0.1%	1.3%	10.4		-	>

Ratio<0.3	C	• <	> <	>	۰	0	0	0	0	0	0	o (0	>	> (> 0	-	> (٥ (> (-	0	0	0	٥ (.	-	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	<
Ratio>3 R	-	٠.	 ,		_	_	_	_	_	_	,	,	p=4 :	 ,	-	,	, - ,	, - ,	, , ,	,	~		_		,	, ,	_	_	,	 -	,_	_			•
P<0.001		-	٠.					_	,	—	~	-	, 1 ·				,	,						_	~	,		, ,	, 1	_	_		_	_	,
%R/%S	10.4		4.01	10.4	10.1	10.0	7.6	9.7	9.7	9.5	4.6	9.4 4.	9.4	9. 4	9.1	9.1	 	8. 4.	8.2	0. 8	9.2	7.2	7.1	7.1	7.0	7.0	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.7	9.9	6.5	6.4	6.3	
%mt R	1 20/	25.	1.3%	1.3%	12.4%	4.3%	4.7%	4.7%	9.5%	11.7%	14.5%	5.2%	1.2%	3.5%	3.4%	12.3%	4.3%	7.7%	12.0%	2.0%	4.2%	2.2%	3.5%	1.7%	4.7%	4.7%	5.1%	10.5%	3.4%	%0.6 6.0%	8.1%	12.3%	2.0%	2.3%	
%mt S	0 10/	%1.0	0.1%	0.1%	1.2%	0.4%	0.5%	0.5%	1.0%	1.2%	1.5%	%9.0 0.6%	0.1%	0.4%	0.4%	1.3%	0.5%	%6.0	1.5%	0.5%	%9 :0	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%	1.5%	0.5%	1.3%	1.2%	1.9%	0.3%	0.4%	
P value	5000	0.000	0.003	0.0003	40.000	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.0007	<0.0001	∠0.0001	<0.0001	40.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	△.0001	<0.0001 0.0001	<0.0001	△.0001	0.0001	0.0001	6.000	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.0001	<0.0001	40.0001	<0.0001	
PR, wt	130	80.4 40.0	854	854	758	828	824	824	783	764	740	870	855	835	836	759	828	798	761	848	829	846	835	850	824	824	821	774	836	787	795	759	848	845	
PR, mt		11		=	101	37	4	4	2]	125	45	01	30	29	106	37	<i>L</i> 9	19	17	36	19	30	15	4	41	4	5	29	*	2,5	٠ ج	17	; c	
PS, wt		1632	1632	1632	1614	1627	707	1626	1618	1614	609	1625	1632	1628	1628	1612	1626	1619	1610	1630	1625	1629	1626	1630	1623	1623	1622	1600	1626	1612	1614	1603	1620	1628	
PS, mt		7	6	10	, S	3 -	- a	o «	٠ <u>٢</u>	25	32	ð	, C	· C	9	22	00	15	24	4	0	, v	, oc	4	1	=	12	35	} ∝	3 0	16	3 7	5 4	٧ ر	
Mutation Pair		G48V-G73	H340_F531	757777	1 225 26	1 101 164 A	L101-154A	CA8V_1541	VA2T 26	1/01 A C/01	1 22F-I 90M	TKAT V22 A	V878-7573	1 241-K43T	1544-A71V	V27A-ACV	V87F-35	T GONG-KART	TSAV, KART	V87 A.V87S	T 10E-I 33E	1 10E-058E	70C-130T	15.4 A - 25	MAKI-KA3T	VA1T-MAKI	EC21_C73	1 101 V 42T	1 100 1 201	110F-LC#1	A/1V-030E	A/IV-N451	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	LIUF-F33L	

W	v	20	FU4	ŧ/U	Ų.	2	14		1		,																	•										
Ratio<0.3	C	· C	•	>	0	c	•	>	0	c	· c	> <	>	> (0	0	> 0	> (۰ د	o (- 0	o	0	0	0	o (~	>	-	•	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	
Ratio>3	-	•	٠,	~	,	-	٠٠	-	_	_	-	٠,	 ,			 ,	-4 1	, .	,	-	-	_		_	,		,	, مـــ	, 1	-	,	_	7				 -	
P<0.001	_	→	٠,		_	-	→ ,	-			٠.	⊸ ,	— ·	_				,- -			,_	gened.	-	, .	,	.	,	,1	.	-		_		-	,	y4		
%RV%S	6.3	7.		6.1	41) (0	0.9	5.9	9	 	5.9	8.	5.8 .8	5.8	5.7	5.7	5.5	5,3	5.2	5.1	5.1	5.1	5.1	5.0	4.9	4.8 8.	4.8 8.	4. 8.	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.5	
%mt R	/00 07	10.2%	%4.0	6.4%	13 1%	2 6	%7.7	7.3%	2 5%) (S) Y	0.2%	6.5%	12.1%	4.3%	6.7%	15.4%	2.4%	13.9%	5.2%	2.9%	2.2%	4.0%	19.5%	13.3%	2.4%	19.1%	3.8%	15.8%	15.8%	14.2%	5.8%	%0.8	11.7%	13.9%	2.5%	16.3%	11.9%	
%mt S	, 40	%/: 	.0% %O:I	1.0%	2 1%	7.7	0.4%	1.2%	0 4%	2	%!:I	1.1%	2.1%	0.7%	1.2%	2.7%	0.4%	2.5%	1.0%	%9:0	0.4%	0.8%	3.9%	2.6%	0.5%	3.9%	%8.0	3.3%	3.3%	3.0%	1.2%	1.7%	2.5%	3.0%	%9.0	3.5%	7.6%	
P value		~000. √000.	~0.000 V	<0 000 V	500	133.7	₹0.000 0.000	<0.0001		10000	40.0001	40.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.000	<0.000	<0.0001	<0.0001 0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	∠0.0001	0000	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	40.0001	
PR, wt		777	810	010		70	846 846	802	0.42	1	608	809	260	828	202	732	844	745	820	840	846	830	969	750	4	700	832	728	728	742	815	796	764	745	843	724	762	
PR, mt		90 90	55	¥	3;	113	61	Œ	38	77	2 6	26	105	32	i or	133	7	120	4 5	5,5	3 2	25	3	115	35	165	33	137	137	123	} }	8 9	35	120	2	17	163 163	
PS. Wt		1607	1617	1517	/101	1599	1628	7171	101	197	1616	1616	1600	1633	1615	1590	1637	1503	1618	3631	7691	107	1521	1761	1661 1661	1570	1691	1580	1580	1585	1514	101	1503	2021	1505	7251	1591	
PS. mt		27	17		1	35	v	> 6	31	7	~	2 2	27	<u> </u>	71	7 5	ţr	\ \	14	26	ז ע	- <u>;</u>	3 5	3 6	.	0 3	<u> </u>	3 2	5 3	÷ <	, c	36	9 ;	7 9	} <	ν [©]	y 4	!
Materian Dair	INTERNATION Y OFF	GARV-A71V	NEAGT ESST	M401-F33L	M461-F33L	V82A-G73	T 10E E/43T	TOTAL SELECTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	F331-33	V82S-35	MAGI-OSRF	2000 1777 V	1703 A E621	V62A-F33L	A/1V-V823	M46L-L241	124V-G/2	G48V-C38E	154V-F33L	1347-7823	W087-878A	V82A-0/	L10I-V82S	M461~134V	V82A-L241	V82A-E34Q	L101-M40L	LIUF-M40L	M40L-L90M	C48V-V82A	L101-L241	G48V-35	L24I-35	LYUM-COSE	1547-1.241	A71V-E340	M46L-A71V 1.101-F53L	77.7.7.7.7.7

•	WU) Z	UU	4/(JUJ	.	14				,																		•											
Ratio<0.3		> <	-	>	0	0	· c	> <))	0	C	· C	> <	> 0	> (> (-	0	0	0	0		> <	> 0)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	> <	> 0)	0	
Ratio>3	-	 - ,	-	-	_	_	• -	,			_	• •-	- 4 •	→ ,	-	, 194	-			-	-	• •	٠,	 ,	-	_	_	 1	_	—	_	_	_	-	• -	٠,	→ ,		-	
P<0.001	-	٠,		 4		-	• -	-	_		-	4	⊶ ,	-				-		_		• •	→ ,	,	-	- -	,		_	-		,	-		٠.	→ ,	,		-	
%R/%S		4.	4.5	4 .4	4.4	4) (7.4	4.2	4.2	4.5) († •	7.4	4.2	4.2	4.1	4 .0	3.7	3.7	3.6) Y	٠	9.0	3.6	3.5	3.5	3.4	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.2	3.2	7 .	;	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	
%mt R		4.4%	%9.6	22.0%	2.4%	14 60%	2.5.7 0.4.0	%.O.%	11.0%	19.9%	10.00	17.77	39.7%	7.6%	10.2%	10.9%	8.6%	40.9%	30.4%	35.3%	71.00	21.0%	21.8%	25.8%	7.5%	49.0%	39.2%	%6.9	29.1%	29.1%	%0.6	12.4%	12 4%	767.77	20.03	40.7%	3.8%	8.3%	8.3%	٠.
%mt S		%. %:	2.1%	5.0%	% 9 0	200	5.4%	7.6%	2.6%	4 8%	200	4.0%	%4.6	1.8%	2.4%	2.6%	2.1%	11.0%	8 3%	0 7%	7.7.0	0.1%	6.1%	7.2%	2.1%	14.0%	11.6%	2.1%	8.8%	8.8%	2.8%	%6°C	70%	14.00	24.41	15.1%	1.2%	2.7%	2.7%	
P value		&.000 1000 1000	40.0001	0000	1000	1000	40.000 1	&.0001 0001	0000	1000		20.0001 0.0001	₩	₩.0001	△.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	0000			V.001	<u><0.0001</u>	<0.0001	<0.0001	40.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	CO 0001	1000	566	1000		000.0 00000000000000000000000000000000	0000	<0.0001	<0.0001	
PR. wt	T ENG. W.	827	782	\$1.9	770	1 6	737	796	770	603		569	226	79	111	771	791	511	200	3 2 2		9/0	929	642	800	4	526	805	613	613	787	759	926	000	704	459	832	793	793	
DD m	rr, mi	38	6	5	3 5	17	120	8	Š	3 5	7/1	172	336	8	00	8	74	35A	750		505	189	189	223	59	424	339	8	252	250	3 6	2 9	25) (1)	€	\$	33	22	12	!
200	F3, WI	1618	1590	1552	707	C701	1578	1603	1501	7777	000	1556	1480	1604	1594	1591	1500	1454	1404	1470	1475	1535	1535	1517	1590	1405	1444	1600	1491	1761	1471	7007	0/61	15/0	1391	1388	1614	1590	1590	
94	FS, EE	16	32	3 5	5 °	٧.	99		7	? 6	%	%	154	S	3 4	₹	35		001	051	159	8	\$	117	35	35	961	2 2	143	? ?	£ ;	£ ,	\$	Ş	243	246	2	4	3	ŧ
	Mutation Pair	M461_C73	1 10F 15AV	1,10F-134V	M40L-V62A	L101-E340	L10I-G48V	T 10E-V82A	101-101-101-101-101-101-101-101-101-101	M40L-33	M46I-V82A	V82A-M46I	A71V-V82A	O588-35	A 2117 E 621	TOUN EC21	A 211 T 241	A/1V-L&1	134V-L9UM	154V-35	V82A-L90M	M461-154V	ISAV-MAGI	1797 A 25	V047-33	1 101 1707 A	L101-V04A	134V-A/1V	1101-4/14	L101-M401	L10I-M401	L10F-L90M	M46I-G73	M461-G73	1.101-154V	154V-V82A	15.07.67	124V-0/	M401-L241	L.241-IM401

_
gaid yin
,
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
000000
000000
-NA- -NA- 15.1 15.1 15.1 15.1
0.0% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1%
0.0049 0.0017 0.0013 0.0013
860 857 857 857 857
vv v≎ ∞ ∞ ∞ v
1634 1633 1633 1633
00
ŀ
K43T-058E

W	O 2	00	4/0	03	15	14			7													
Ratio<0.3	0	0	0	0	C	•	>))	0	0	O	0	O	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	· C	×
Ratio>3	0	0	0	0	•	> <	<u> </u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	· C	>
P<0.001	0	0	0	0	· c	> 0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	c	.	,
%R/%S	2.8	2.8	2.7	2.7	ic	7.0 7.0	5.6	5.6	2.5	2.4	2.2	2.2	2.0	2.0	1.9	1.8	1.7	1.7	1.6	1 4	7	Cil
%mt R	0.3%	0.3%	1.8%	1.8%	26.0	7.4%	%8.O	%8.0	0.5%	% 9:0	1.6%	2.7%	2.3%	2.3%	0.5%	5.7%	2.8%	%8.0	%9 0	700 2	2 6	0.470
%mt S	0.1%	0.1%	0.7%	0 7%	2 2	0.7% %	0.3%	0.3%	0.2%	0.5%	0.7%	1.3%	1.2%	1.2%	0.2%	3.2%	1.7%	0.5%	0.4%	2 76%	2,47	0.7%
P value	0.3483	0.3483	0.0129	00100	7700	0.041	0.1242	0.1242	0.2441	0.2903	0.0596	0.0161	0.0402	0.0402	0,4596	0.0038	0.0734	0.4144	0 5285	2000	0.2412	T.UVUV
PR, wt	862	862	849	078	6	2	828	858	861	860	851	842	845	845	861	816	2	858	98	3 6	92/	863
PR, mt		i (r)	, 4	2 7	2 ;	21	7	7	4	. V-	, <u>1</u>	23	20	202	4	49	24	-	. v	7 8	87	2
PS, wt	1632	1632	1603		5701	1619	1629	1629	1631	1630	1622	1613	1615	1615	1630	1582	1607	7691	1630	9701	1595	1631
PS, mt	,	10	1	1:	:	15	Υ.	· •) (f) 4	2:	;;	12	2	; 4	\$	2.0	;	.	o ;	36	3
Mutation Pair	MAKI TATU	D340_K43T	30021 13630	750 A - TOHTAT	V82S-M40i	G73-67	154A-154V	I GOM-I 241	TATE KT	CA07/ EC21	1647 T240	24.67	NAGE -NAGET	MAGT -MAGT	MAKT B340	1 100 25	A 7177 67	A/174-0/	F331-67	E34Q-35	L10I-67	G48V-67

-N/A-: Not Applicable; results in division by zero.

The last 3 columns (P < 0.001, ratio > 3, ratio < 0.3) contain either a "1" if the condition at the top of the column (e.g., P < 0.001) is true and a "0" if the condition is false.

TABLE

Algorithm Construction and Application to the Training and Validation Data Sets

		Training Data Set	Data Set				
		Number o	Number of samples			% of samples	ક્ક
Rules	PT-S.	PT-R,	PT-R,	PT-S,	PT-R,	PT-S,	Total
	GT-S	GT-R	GT-S	GT-R ²	GT-S	GT-R	Discordance
Startine mutations	1635	1698	865	216	19.6	4.9	24.5
A44 87F 84C 54AST + 2mut	1602	1892	672	237	15.2	5.4	20.6
Add 33F/82A + 2mut	1586	2021	543	245	12.3	5.6	17.9
Add 46IL + 47V, 54V,	1341	2302	262	388	5.9	œ œ	14.7
71L, 76V, or 82A + 2mut							
		Validation	Validation Data Set				
Starting mutations	957	419	505	20	12.8	3.1	15.9
Final algorithm	910	556	72	97	4.4	5.9	10.3

1 4414 samples in the training data set; 1634 samples in the validation data set that were not used to derive the algorithm

² mixtures not accounted for (i.e., some discordance due to mixtures, not inaccuracy of rules)

at least 2 secondary mutations required in addition to listed requirements.

TABLE:

Primary and Secondary Mutations Associated With Amprenavir Resistance

Primary ISOV; V32I; IS4L; IS4M; I84A; I84V	Secondary L101, F, R, or V; K201, M, R, or T; L33F, M36I or L; M46I, L, or V; 147V; G48M, S, or V; 154A, L, M, S, T,
	or V; Losf, S, A, 1, U, or C; A/11, L, V, Or 1, V82A, F, T, or S; L90M



- 1. A method for determining whether a human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) has an increased likelihood of having a reduced susceptibility to treatment with a protease inhibitor, comprising: detecting whether the protease encoded by said HIV exhibits the presence or absence of a mutation associated with reduced susceptibility to treatment with said protease inhibitor at amino acid position 11, 32, 33, 34, 43, 46, 47, 48, 50, 54, 58, 71, 76, 79, 82, 83, 84, 91 or 95 of an amino acid sequence of said protease, wherein the presence of said mutation indicates that the HIV has an increased likelihood of having reduced susceptibility to treatment with the protease inhibitor, with the proviso that said mutation is not V32I, M46I, M46L, I47V, I50V, I54L, I54M or I84V.
- 2. A method of determining whether an individual infected with HIV has an increased likelihood of having a reduced susceptibility to treatment with a protease inhibitor, comprising: detecting, in a sample from said individual, the presence or absence of a mutation associated with reduced susceptibility to treatment with said protease inhibitor at amino acid position 11, 32, 33, 34, 43, 46, 47, 48, 50, 54, 58, 71, 76, 79, 82, 83, 84, 91 or 95 of the amino acid sequence of the protease of the HIV, wherein the presence of said mutation indicates that the individual has an increased likelihood of having reduced susceptibility to treatment with the protease inhibitor, with the proviso that said mutation is not V32I, M46I, M46L, I47V, I50V, I54L, I54M or I84V.
- 3. A method of determining whether a HIV has an increased likelihood of having a reduced susceptibility to treatment with a protease inhibitor, comprising: detecting whether the protease encoded by said HIV exhibits the presence or absence of a mutation selected from the group consisting of: V11I, V11L, L33F, E34Q, K43T, G48M, I54A, I54S, I54T, Q58E, A71L, L76V, P79, V82A, V82F, N83D, I84A, I84C, T91A, T91S, T91V and C95F, wherein the mutation is associated with reduced susceptibility to treatment with said protease inhibitor and the presence of said mutation indicates that the HIV has an increased likelihood of having reduced susceptibility to treatment with the protease inhibitor.



- 4. An isolated oligonucleotide between about 10 and about 40 nucleotides long encoding a portion of a HIV protease in a HIV that comprises a mutation at amino acid position 11, 32, 33, 34, 43, 46, 47, 48, 50, 54, 58, 71, 76, 79, 82, 83, 84, 91 or 95 of an amino acid sequence of said protease in said human immunodeficiency virus, wherein the mutation is associated with reduced susceptibility to a protease inhibitor, with the proviso that said mutation is not V32I, M46I, M46L, I47V, I50V, I54L, I54M or I84V.
- 5. An isolated oligonucleotide between about 10 and about 40 nucleotides long encoding a portion of a HIV protease that comprises a mutation at codon 11, 32, 33, 34, 43, 46, 47, 48, 50, 54, 58, 71, 76, 79, 82, 83, 84, 91 or 95, wherein the mutation is associated with reduced susceptibility to said protease inhibitor, with the proviso that the codon does not encode V at position 32, I or L at position 46, V at position 47, V at position 50, L or M at position 54 or V at position 84.
- 6. A method for determining whether a HIV has an increased likelihood of having reduced susceptibility to treatment with a protease inhibitor, comprising: detecting whether the protease encoded by said HIV exhibits the presence or absence of one or more HIV protease mutations listed in Table 1; and applying a set of rules to said mutations as provided in Table 4, wherein said HIV has an increased likelihood of being resistant to treatment with said protease inhibitor if said set of rules is satisfied.
- 7. A method for determining whether an individual infected with a HIV has an increased likelihood of having reduced susceptibility to treatment with a protease inhibitor, comprising:

 detecting, in a sample from said individual, the presence or absence of one or more HIV protease mutations listed in Table 1; and applying a set of rules to said mutations as provided in Table 4, wherein said individual has an increased likelihood of being resistant to treatment with said protease inhibitor if said set of rules is satisfied.
- 8. The method of claim 1, 2, 3, 6 or 7, wherein said human immunodeficiency virus is human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1).



- 9. The method of claim 1, 2, 3, 6 or 7, wherein the protease has a sequence that is greater than 90% identical to SEQ ID NO:1.
- 10. The method of claim 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 or 7, wherein said protease inhibitor is amprenavir.
- 11. The method of claim 1, 2, 3, 6 or 7, wherein said presence or absence of said mutation is detected by hybridization with a sequence-specific oligonucleotide probe to a nucleic acid sequence of said human immunodeficiency virus encoding said mutation, wherein the occurrence of hybridization indicates said presence or absence of said mutation.
- 12. The method of claim 1, 2, 3, 6 or 7, wherein said presence or absence of said mutation is detected by determining a nucleic acid sequence encoding said mutation.
- 13. The method of claim 2 or 7, wherein the individual is undergoing or has undergone prior treatment with an anti-viral drug.
- 14. The method of claim 1 or 2, wherein the amino acid at position 11 of said protease is an amino acid having a neutral, hydrophobic or non-polar side chain.
- 15. The method of claim 1 or 2, wherein the amino acid at position 11 of said protease is I or L.
- 16. The method of claim 1 or 2, wherein the amino acid at position 33 of said protease is an amino acid with a neutral, hydrophobic or non-polar side chain.
- 17. The method of claim 1 or 2, wherein the amino acid at position 33 of said protease is F.
- 18. The method of claim 1 or 2, wherein the amino acid at position 34 of said protease is an amino acid having a neutral, polar or hydrophilic side chain.
- 19. The method of claim 1 or 2, wherein the amino acid at position 34 of said protease is Q.




- 20. The method of claim 1 or 2, wherein the amino acid at position 43 of said protease is an amino acid with a neutral, hydrophobic or non-polar side chain.
- 21. The method of claim 1 or 2, wherein the amino acid at position 43 of said protease is T.
- 22. The method of claim 1 or 2, wherein the amino acid at position 48 of said protease is an amino acid with a neutral, hydrophobic or non-polar side chain.
- 23. The method of claim 1 or 2, wherein the amino acid at position 48 of said protease is M.
- 24. The method of claim 1 or 2, wherein the amino acid at position 54 of said protease is an amino acid with a neutral, hydrophobic, non-polar, hydrophilic or polar side chain.
- 25. The method of claim 1 or 2, wherein the amino acid at position 54 of said protease is an amino acid with a neutral, hydrophobic or non-polar side chain.
- 26. The method of claim 1 or 2, wherein the amino acid at position 54 of said protease is A.
- 27. The method of claim 1 or 2, wherein the amino acid at position 54 of said protease is an amino acid with a neutral, hydrophilic or polar side chain.
- 28. The method of claim 1 or 2, wherein the amino acid at position 54 of said protease is S or T.
- 29. The method of claim 1 or 2, wherein the amino acid at position 58 of said protease is an amino acid with an acidic, hydrophilic or polar side chain.
- 30. The method of claim 1 or 2, wherein the amino acid at position 58 of said protease is E.
- 31. The method of claim 1 or 2, wherein the amino acid at position 71 of said protease is an amino acid with a neutral, hydrophobic or non-polar side chain.




- 32. The method of claim 1 or 2, wherein the amino acid at position 71 of said protease is L.
- 33. The method of claim 1 or 2, wherein the amino acid at position 76 of said protease is an amino acid with a neutral, hydrophobic or non-polar side chain.
- 34. The method of claim 1 or 2, wherein the amino acid at position 76 of said protease is V.
- 35. The method of claim 1 or 2, wherein the amino acid at position 79 of said protease is an amino acid with a neutral, hydrophobic, non-polar, acidic, hydrophilic or polar side chain.
- 36. The method of claim 1 or 2, wherein the amino acid at position 79 of said protease is an amino acid with a neutral, hydrophobic or non-polar side chain.
- 37. The method of claim 1 or 2, wherein the amino acid at position 79 of said protease is an amino acid with an acidic, neutral, hydrophilic or polar side chain.
- 38. The method of claim 1 or 2, wherein the amino acid at position 79 of said protease is any amino acid, with the proviso that it is not P.
- 39. The method of claim 1 or 2, wherein the amino acid at position 82 of said protease is an amino acid with a neutral, hydrophobic or non-polar side chain.
- 40. The method of claim 1 or 2, wherein the amino acid at position 82 of said protease is A or F.
- 41. The method of claim 1 or 2, wherein the amino acid at position 83 of said protease is an amino acid with an acidic, hydrophilic or polar side chain.
- 42. The method of claim 1 or 2, wherein the amino acid at position 83 of said protease is D.
- 43. The method of claim 1 or 2, wherein the amino acid at position 54 of said protease is an amino acid with a neutral, hydrophobic, non-polar, hydrophilic or polar side chain.



- 44. The method of claim 1 or 2, wherein the amino acid at position 84 of said protease is an amino acid with a neutral, hydrophobic or non-polar side chain.
- 45. The method of claim 1 or 2, wherein the amino acid at position 84 of said protease is A.
- 46. The method of claim 1 or 2, wherein the amino acid at position 84 of said protease is an amino acid with a neutral, hydrophilic or polar side chain.
- 47. The method of claim 1 or 2, wherein the amino acid at position 84 of said protease is C.
- 48. The method of claim 1 or 2, wherein the amino acid at position 91 of said protease is an amino acid with a neutral, hydrophobic, non-polar, hydrophilic or polar side chain.
- 49. The method of claim 1 or 2, wherein the amino acid at position 91 of said protease is an amino acid with a neutral, hydrophobic or non-polar side chain.
- 50. The method of claim 1 or 2, wherein the amino acid at position 91 of said protease is A or V.
- 51. The method of claim 1 or 2, wherein the amino acid at position 91 of said protease is an amino acid with a neutral, hydrophilic or polar side chain.
- 52. The method of claim 1 or 2, wherein the amino acid at position 91 of said protease is S.
- 53. The method of claim 1 or 2, wherein the amino acid at position 95 of said protease is an amino acid with a neutral, hydrophobic or non-polar side chain.
- The method of claim 1 or 2, wherein the amino acid at position 95 of said protease is F.
- 55. The method of claim 1, 2, 3, 6 or 7, wherein the method comprises detecting the presence or absence of a mutation associated with reduced susceptibility to treatment with said protease inhibitor at at least 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18 or 19 of the amino acid positions.



1/7
SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)



* drug-selected PI mutations* 23, 24, 30, 32, 33F, 46, 48, 50, 53, 54, 82 (except 82I), 84, 88, 90 ** Samples with the same PR genotype

Fig. 2

SEQ. ID. NO: 1: NL4-3 HIV Protease Amino Acid Sequence


PQITLWQRPL VTIKIGGQLK EALLDTGADD TVLEEMNLPG RWKPKMIGGI GGFIKVRQYD QILIEICGHK AIGTVLVGPT PVNIIGRNLL TQIGCTLNF

Fig. 3A

SEQ. ID. NO: 2: NL4-3 HIV Protease Nucleotide Sequence

1-10 cct cag atc act ctt tgg cag cga ccc ctc
11-20 gtc aca ata aag ata ggg ggg caa tta aag
21-30 gaa gct cta tta gat aca gga gca gat gat
31-40 aca gta tta gaa gaa atg aat ttg cca gga
41-50 aga tgg aaa cca aaa atg ata ggg gga att
51-60 gga ggt ttt atc aaa gta aga cag tat gat
61-70 cag ata ctc ata gaa atc tgc gga cat aaa
71-80 gct ata ggt aca gta tta gta gga cct aca
81-90 cct gtc aac ata att gga aga aat ctg ttg
91-99 act cag att ggc tgc act tta aat ttt

Fig. 3B




4/7
SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)




П	₽	*	≝	Z	¥	Ş	₹ (5	3	5	9	9	€	흌	è	a	¥	ä	5	3	ä	8	F	: ≥	Ľ	2	ā	10	10	ā	줉]
ğ	15.1(18)		l	T	E .	1	†	1		툊					r	r		Ī	9295		T	Ī	5)^%			PALLE			2212		814	
3	22 (70)	2215	E2.68	l	55470	+	<u>.</u>		_	_	2	数1 亿	325 (TB)	DE STAN	<u> </u>	83 83 83	B) ccc	5	1767	12	2		22 608	_	1958	1		2003		Silve	3	
g	5144	INSMI)	_	11300			_		22							Γ		Ī	E .	Т	Т		53,63	т	_	121			20.75		S	
23	125(75)	ľ	Ī	Ť	9	_	-+	9		22.60	113/14				27(2				E				28.85		900				17.0 (27)		19	
ø	15(80)	(2)(E)	23.60	25.6			2	9		1200	ugn	(A.) (E)	84(19)	COLUEN	EN122	מוש	88	1	8	06.830	8	27/16	18	1	5			2	38	2	ฮ	
è	(Z) 975	97.0	_	Ī	8	_	-	2 2 2 3	××6	116(59)									8				2			10000	-		25.03		ē	
ž	355	166	27.00	2,08	1	307		2	10.7(20)	12 [II]	999			104715	_				E I			L	2		Ĺ	STAB		2			Ħ	
₹	356	33.66	9794				200	1.8 412	46 80	21000	551)97	ไปได้	\$3) ««	62.029	2027	9 9	8		3 2					W/276		_		25	77600	ŝ	₹	
Ħ	22376									200 ccc	L	L		L		L	5			\downarrow			L	l	l	27.00	_		L	L	Z	1
3		MARKE	100										L	L		L	L		٤	1 5						2	_	L	23.00	-	25	
35	E2 TE3		+-	+		3	-	4289		5900	11.053		_	57.02	1 -	_		\downarrow	1	_	80703	Т	RTANTS			31663 0477	1 %		-		拼	
Š	31646		7.6	1		22		2	82(128)	316 (200	21/22	202	(I)<<<	т-	+-	L				46.40		-	4//	_	1 1	_	_	1	7	Т		
2	בו	-	L							(A)	_		L	87.6	-	ļ	48845	_		\downarrow	1	5	2000		\downarrow	93/60	_	\downarrow	S 18	+	55	┨
33	25970	_	L	1			_	× (3)		(i)	8	-	L	N I				74 900		1	1	5	→		ļ	0.00 E	_	L	B) 000	-	33	┨
3	Ľ	-	_			91919	-	7.1 (19)	[] <<<	11371	_	_	L	E S			Ļ	1	1		1	\downarrow	90.50	_	_	201.01	_	-	A 45.00		3,	1
Z	13		1 5			_		2	10204	61(73)			22.00	L					U-BR S S	7		\downarrow	19/19		-	0000		1	A11150		려	┨
3	13	-	1	1			×	100 C		226(2)	8891	L			100	2			_	-		1	63.845			197	_	ļ	3500		3	+
1	1	_	1	1	1	4		(I)	$\overline{}$		L		Į	L	24.46		1	+	_		1	1		51000	+	2	-	ļ	in surfie		3	┨
3	٤	+		~	-	^		ETILOT 8	500	-			Ļ		90	_	1		4	-	<u></u>	\downarrow			╁	_			301 CO 100		5	4
9	45	-						927		┕		E		24 B KG	_				1077			-	-	-	200			_	14/100		9	4
1		_	_	_	-	18.00	_	27.019				KOCH	7	-				Ž Ř		_	8	4	_	_	_	П.			1 m	┰	Т	4
1		=+		<u>*</u>	80	7 (6350)	-	9,68	_			-	_			3				B 202		4	_			₩ ₩	1 1 C	_		20 20	 - -	┥
5	т.	3	_		7	101(23)		ļ	97.00			2 5		-		_		N CC			8	-		_	2002	_	_	3	2	-	+	3
1								E		1	١,	.	1				8	e e		7	g	-		29 B			2 2	2	_	2 3	1	3
ŀ	3			% 8	25			A 45 4970	1 (2) IN 100 (2)			Selve Comp		-			20,000	多	20,109	E 12.5 (17)	24 11.2 (49	4	\dashv	20 E	2709 320	Ž		200			7	7
ŀ	_	_	20			31810		13.03		25 TO 12 CO.			-	4	4	9 2		_	Ц		7 20.029	61	Ц	4 (109)	2 2	\dashv	61 E8	-	113	9	+	2
ŀ	-+	<u> </u>				97000		1			17	Mary Series	*	4		2 >>0	9	L	L	7150		12 >>> 4	Ц)971 K	1109 2709	10	42 TO 7350	-	틸	22.00	+	≅
	호	350			1089 E	_	٠.		4		_	-	E I		g	60 C1 220	6 15/118	9	199	9	33 72 280	20802	(G)	121	711 B	17 (12)	27 15	ES 125/00	3 3	a E	_	<u></u>
	2		5 SED	DIN XII	U UNZ				7		3			61 (50)	₩ C2	3 (5/16)	SUC. 10(40)		23		E 62 (13)	13	M 283(15)	EG: ≥	326		EM 13.EM	521 22	13 82	22 HIL	84 151(B	_
Į	_	=	₽	Ξ	2	8	\$	5]	8	9	۷,	*	-	4	7	- 1	3	(J	125	1.2	104	صا	_		_		-	_	_	<u>1</u>	=1	_

5/7
SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)



SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)



7/7
SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)

SEQUENCE LISTING

- <110> ViroLogic, Inc
- <120> COMPOSITIONS AND METHODS FOR DETERMINING THE SUSCEPTIBILITY OF A PATHOGENIC VIRUS TO PROTEASE INHIBITORS
- <130> 11068-065-228
- <140>
- <141>
- <150> 60/393,248
- <151> 2002-07-01
- <150> 60/414,273
- <151> 2002-09-27
- <160> 2
- <170> PatentIn version 3.2
- <210> 1
- <211> 99
- <212> PRT
- <213> Human immunodeficiency virus
- <400> 1
- Pro Gln Ile Thr Leu Trp Gln Arg Pro Leu Val Thr Ile Lys Ile Gly
 1 5 10 15
- Gly Gln Leu Lys Glu Ala Leu Leu Asp Thr Gly Ala Asp Asp Thr Val 20 25 30
- Leu Glu Glu Met Asn Leu Pro Gly Arg Trp Lys Pro Lys Met Ile Gly 35 40 45
- Gly Ile Gly Gly Phe Ile Lys Val Arg Gln Tyr Asp Gln Ile Leu Ile 50 55 60
- Glu Ile Cys Gly His Lys Ala Ile Gly Thr Val Leu Val Gly Pro Thr 65 70 75 80
- Pro Val Asn Ile Ile Gly Arg Asn Leu Leu Thr Gln Ile Gly Cys Thr 85 90 95

Leu Asn Phe

- <210> 2
- <211> 297
- <212> DNA
- <213> Human immunodeficiency virus

<400> 2 cctcagatca	ctctttggca	gcgacccctc	gtcacaataa	agataggggg	gcaattaaag	60
gaagetetat	tagatacagg	agcagatgat	acagtattag	aagaaatgaa	tttgccagga	120
agatggaaac	caaaaatgat	agggggaatt	ggaggtttta	tcaaagtaag	acagtatgat	180
cagatactca	tagaaatctg	cggacataaa	gctataggta	cagtattagt	aggacctaca	240
				gctgcacttt		297

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)